

Salmonella infection and Australian reptiles Fact sheet August 2018

Introductory statement

Salmonella spp. are commonly detected in captive and free living reptiles, and may be present in both healthy and diseased individuals ^[1, 2]. In many situations the precise role of these bacteria in reptile illness and death may be difficult to determine ^[3]. Salmonella are considered pathogenic for a wide range of both warm-blooded and cold-blooded vertebrates and salmonellosis, or disease resulting from infection with Salmonella species of bacteria, is the most widely recognised zoonotic disease associated with reptiles ^[4, 5]. Due to the high prevalence of enteric Salmonella in both healthy and diseased reptiles and the ability of these bacteria to cause significant disease in humans and a wide range of animals, all reptiles presented for treatment, care or study should be regarded as potential carriers or shedders of Salmonella.

Aetiology

Salmonella spp. are gram-negative, rod-shaped bacilli belonging to the family Enterobacteriaceae^[6]. Salmonella nomenclature is complex and evolving^[7]. Currently, the genus Salmonella consists of two species, S. enterica and S. bongori. S. enterica is further divided into six subspecies, which are referred to by a Roman numeral and a name and these are then further divided into serotypes^{[7, 8]1}.

Recent studies examining *Salmonella* spp. serotypes in groups of free living and captive reptiles both within Australia and the United States identify *S. enterica* subsp. *enterica* and *S. enterica* subsp. *diarizonae* as the most common isolates ^[5, 9].

Natural hosts

Salmonella have been identified from all classes of vertebrates (fish, amphibians, reptiles, birds and mammals) and in invertebrates. Serotypes may have predilection for certain species (e.g. Salmonella ser. Choleraesuis usually infects pigs) or taxa (e.g. S. enterica subsp. arizonae is usually

¹ At the first citation of a named serotype, the genus name is followed by the word "serotype" or the abbreviation "ser.", then the serotype name. Subsequently, the name may be written with the genus followed directly by the serotype name. The serotype name is capitalised and not italicised.

found in cold blooded vertebrates). However, most *Salmonella* serotypes can infect a broad range of hosts ^[10].

All reptiles, captive and free living, are considered to be potential carriers and shedders of *Salmonella*, although evidence is emerging both in Australia and overseas that some reptile taxa appear more likely to be infected with *Salmonella*, with some free-living species appearing unlikely to carry *Salmonella*^[5, 9]. Work by Scheelings et al. 2011 [5] failed to find *Salmonella* in 60 free living, freshwater turtles and 48 free living southern water skinks (*Eulamprus heatwolei*), indicating that some wild Australian reptile species may not be natural carriers of this pathogen.

World distribution

Salmonellosis occurs worldwide in a wide range of warm blooded and cold blooded vertebrates, including reptiles ^[10]. It appears to be most prevalent in areas of intensive animal husbandry, particularly where pigs, calves and poultry are housed in confinement ^[3, 5, 8].

Occurrences in Australia

Several studies have examined the prevalence of *Salmonella* in wild and captive reptiles in Australia. Lee and Mackerras 1955 [11] isolated *Salmonella* from healthy and sick eastern bearded dragons (*Pogona barbata*) in a captive colony, suggesting a reservoir of infection in reptiles. A review of diagnostic records in Qld, in which *Salmonella* of diverse serotypes were isolated from a variety of reptile species, reinforced this finding ^[12].

Between January 2007 and June 2008, Scheelings et al. 2011 [5] studied the prevalence of *Salmonella* in reptiles admitted to the Animal Wildlife Health Sanctuary, Healesville Sanctuary, Victoria, as well as those within the sanctuary collection, from private collections and caught during field trips. Results showed captive reptiles (46.9%) were significantly more likely to carry *Salmonella* than their wild counterparts (12.1%). Snakes (captive and wild) had the highest prevalence (69.2%), followed by lizards (21.7%) and turtles/tortoises (0.02%). Interesting findings amongst the free-living reptiles included lowland copperhead snakes (*Australaps superbus*) of which 69.2% were positive, some shedding multiple serovars, and lace monitors (*Varanus varius*) of which 30.1% were positive, with 11 of the 17 positives captured in a garbage dump.

In May 2011, McLelland et al. 2015 [13] tested 138 free living reptiles from the Kimberley region of WA for *Salmonella* with positive results in 50.0% of snakes, 39.6% of lizards and 20.0% of turtles. The most common isolate was *Salmonella* Rubislaw. These reptiles came from areas with minimal contact with humans and domestic species, indicating wild reptiles are natural carriers.

Since its establishment in 1978, the National Enteric Pathogens Surveillance Scheme (NEPSS) has received over 2800 reports of *Salmonella* isolates from captive and live reptiles in Australia. Farmed crocodiles are not included. Most reports are of subspecies III serovars (mainly subspecies IIIb) and subspecies I serovars, with low numbers of subspecies II and subspecies IV. The most common subspecies I serovars reported were *Salmonella* Typhimurium, *Salmonella* Muenchen, *Salmonella* Adelaide and *Salmonella* Paratyphi B biovar Java. The most common subspecies IV serovar reported was *Salmonella* Houten^[14].

Infection with *Salmonella* in reptiles is not an OIE listed disease and is not a nationally notifiable disease.

Epidemiology

In general, reptiles are asymptomatic carriers of *Salmonella* in the gastrointestinal tract where it is considered by some to be part of the normal gut flora ^[1, 2]. *Salmonella* can be shed in the faeces either continuously or intermittently ^[10]. Invasion of the pathogen beyond the GI tract into other tissues (where it can cause overt disease) appears to occur when other factors allow it to penetrate the mucosal barrier of the intestine. These factors include stressors such as parasitism, trauma, inappropriate husbandry and other infectious disease ^[3, 5]. Transmission of the organism commonly occurs by direct contact or the faecal/oral route ^[10].

Various factors have been associated with higher *Salmonella* shedding and/or illness by reptiles, including species group, diet and environment. Snakes appear more likely to shed *Salmonella* and be ill from the infection than lizards and turtles/tortoises ^[5, 9]. Reptiles with a carnivorous diet are more likely to shed bacteria than those that are insectivorous or vegetarian, and in some cases being carnivorous is associated with a higher risk of *Salmonella* associated illness ^[5, 9]. Environment also plays a role: in a study Scheelings et al. 2011 [5], captive reptiles demonstrated higher levels of shedding than free living; in a study of zoo reptiles in Denmark, those in the education unit were more likely to be shedding *Salmonella* than those in display enclosures ^[15]; and reptiles with a history of confiscation were more likely to become ill with *Salmonella* in a study at the Bronx Zoo ^[9]. Shipping, overcrowding and suboptimal environmental conditions are also associated with increased shedding ^[2].

Clinical signs

The majority of reptiles positive on culture for enteric *Salmonella* display no signs of disease ^[1]. Reptiles that develop salmonellosis commonly show signs of septicaemia (characterised by anorexia and listlessness), pneumonia, coelomitis and hypovolaemic shock. Osteomyelitis, osteoarthritis, necrotising enteritis and subcutaneous and internal granulomas or abscesses are reported ^[1, 10].

Bone infections have been seen in snakes, with progression to death in some cases ^[16, 17]. In a freeliving population of turtles, clinical signs including emaciation, lesions of the plastron, discoloured carapace and intestinal, respiratory and hepatic lesions were attributed to *Salmonella* infection ^[18].

Diagnosis

Diagnosis of enteric carriage of *Salmonella* is based on microbiological culture or PCR of cloacal swabs ^[19]. As *Salmonella* spp. are often shed intermittently in the faeces of reptiles, it may be challenging to confirm that an individual live reptile is free of *Salmonella* infection. Bacterial culture of faecal specimens from pet reptiles to determine *Salmonella* infection status is discouraged, as false negatives are common and misleading ^[10, 19].

Diagnosis of disease resulting from *Salmonella* infection in reptiles is based on a combination of clinical history, signs, sampling for bacteriology and supportive investigations such as haematology and imaging. It may be difficult to interpret the clinical significance of *Salmonella* in a sick reptile^[9].

Laboratory diagnostic specimens and procedures

Swabs from faecal cloacal (or enteric at necropsy) samples for bacterial culture and/or PCR. Samples for *Salmonella* culture may need transport media, so contact the submitting lab prior to collection. Blood should be collected for haematology, biochemistry and blood culture, as well as bacterial culture of lesions, if indicated, in sick individuals ^[20].

Clinical pathology

Haematological data in reptiles is difficult to interpret given the lack of normal reference values. General changes may be seen in various bacterial infections, including *Salmonella*, but these can also occur with other diseases. Included are anaemia, which tends to be regenerative in cases of septicaemia and non-regenerative with more chronic infections, and variation in the white blood cell count. Heterophils may be increased or decreased, with or without increased immature cells (left shift), and azurophils, lymphocytes and monocytes may be increased. A normal leukogram can also occur. Thrombocytopaenia can occur in cases of severe septicaemia ^[21, 22].

Pathology

Necropsy lesions are not pathognomonic in reptiles with clinical salmonellosis and visible changes may be confounded by concurrent disease. Animals may be in good body condition or debilitated. Severe diffuse or focal hepatitis with enlargement, paleness or mottling of the liver, excess serous or blood-stained fluid in body cavities, subcutaneous oedema and haemorrhages are indicative of *Salmonella* spp. related septicaemia ^[3].

Microscopic changes may be obscured by rapid autolysis. Typical changes include focal hepatic necrosis, tissue infiltration by mononuclear cells, myocarditis and fibrinous epicarditis. Gramnegative bacteria, sometimes intracellular, are present in necrotic areas in the liver or throughout the body. In more chronic cases, intestinal granulomas may form, sometimes leading to obstruction ^[3, 23].

Differential diagnoses

Any cause of sudden death, diarrhoea, listlessness or anorexia. Many infections in reptiles are asymptomatic.

Treatment

Veterinarians should be discouraged from treating healthy reptiles with antibiotics to eliminate *Salmonella* spp. from the intestine. Attempts to treat reptiles with antibiotics to eliminate *Salmonella* carriage or to raise *Salmonella*-free reptiles have been unsuccessful. Antibiotics can favour the persistence of *Salmonella* in the intestines after recovery, affect the intestinal flora, and increase the emergence of antibiotic-resistant strains ^[9, 10].

In sick reptiles, fluid replacement, correction of electrolyte imbalances and other supportive care, such as heat, is important. Septicaemic salmonellosis may be treated with a number of antibiotics including ampicillin, amoxicillin, gentamicin, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, third generation cephalosporins, chloramphenicol and fluoroquinolones ^[10]. Many isolates are resistant to one or more antibiotics, and the choice of drugs should, if possible, be based on culture and antimicrobial susceptibility testing. In many cases however, antibiotics are not successful, with infection resurging even after months of treatment ^[9, 17].

Prevention and control

As a general rule, all reptiles should be considered to be potential sources of *Salmonella*. In most cases, elimination of *Salmonella* infections is impractical, and control is limited to preventing clinical disease and/or the transmission of bacteria to humans.

Scheelings et al. 2011 [5] and Clancy et al. 2016 [9] identified husbandry issues including cleanliness and appropriateness of enclosures and stressful events such as handling and co-housing of reptiles as risk factors for shedding of *Salmonella* and development of clinical salmonellosis. These findings emphasise that the impacts of *Salmonella* infection in reptiles can be decreased by good hygiene and minimising stressful events.

Salmonella spp. are susceptible to many disinfectants including 1% sodium hypochlorite, 70% ethanol, 70% propanol, 2% glutaraldehyde, iodine-based disinfectants, phenolics, peracetic acid, hydrogen peroxide, quaternary ammonium compounds and formaldehyde. They are susceptible to ozone but resistant to nitrites. They can also be killed by moist heat (121°C for a minimum of 15 min) or dry heat (160-170°C for at least 1 hour) ^[10].

Research

Continuing research (following, for example, the approach of Scheelings et al. 2011 [5], who examined incidence and epidemiology of *Salmonella* spp. in captive and free-living reptiles in Vic) is required to better understand the roles of this pathogen in reptiles in other areas of Australia. Additional questions recently raised in the literature include examination of the risk of *Salmonella* infection from frozen whole prey and assessment of husbandry factors in risk of shedding and illness ^[5, 9].

Surveillance and management

Since 1978, NEPSS has collected data on human and non-human isolations of enteric bacterial pathogens in Australia, including information on *Salmonella* serotypes identified in Australian animals and wildlife. Reports of isolates are voluntarily submitted by the five laboratories capable of serotyping and phage typing *Salmonella* in Australia. *Salmonella* isolates are submitted to these laboratories by primary diagnostic laboratories throughout the country.

Wildlife Health Australia administers Australia's general wildlife health surveillance system, in partnership with government and non-government agencies. Wildlife health data is collected into a national database, the electronic Wildlife Health Information System (eWHIS). Information is

reported by a variety of sources including government agencies, zoo based wildlife hospitals, sentinel veterinary clinics, universities, wildlife rehabilitators, and a range of other organisations and individuals. Targeted surveillance data is also collected by WHA. See the WHA website for more information https://wildlifehealthaustralia.com.au/Our-Work/Surveillance and https://wildlifehealthaustralia.com.au/Our-Work/Surveillance and https://wildlifehealthaustralia.com.au/Our-Work/Surveillance and https://wildlifehealthaustralia.com.au/Our-Work/Surveillance and https://wildlifehealthaustralia.com.au/Our-Work/Surveillance/eWHIS-Wildlife-Health-Information-System.

We are interested in hearing from anyone with information on this condition in Australia, including laboratory reports, historical datasets or survey results that could be added to the National Wildlife Health Information System. Negative data are also valuable. If you can help, please contact us at admin@wildlifehealthaustralia.com.au.

Statistics

Information on Salmonella reports from reptiles in Australia is collected by the NEPSS.

The National Wildlife Health Surveillance Database holds a number of cases of *Salmonella* in reptiles. Most cases involve captive reptiles, but a significant number involved free living animals. Host species commonly reported include pythons, bearded dragons, skinks, and to a lesser extent crocodiles, marine turtles and elapids. Presentation ranges from asymptomatic to systemic signs such as weakness, lethargy and emaciation.

The Australian Registry of Wildlife Health holds a number of records of *Salmonella* spp. causing illness in reptiles including ten cases in snakes and seven in lizards between the years of 1998 and 2017, but none in turtles and tortoises. All cases are in captive animals. Detailed case records, blocks and glass slides are held in the Australian Registry of Wildlife Health (<u>http://arwh.org/</u>).

Human health implications

Salmonellosis is a zoonotic disease and acquisition of *Salmonella* spp. infection from reptiles is considered the most likely route for zoonotic salmonellosis. Pet owners and their families, zoo keepers, researchers and veterinarians are considered at risk of infection. In humans, salmonellosis varies from a self-limiting gastroenteritis to invasive infections that can lead to septicaemia. Salmonellosis acquired from reptiles is often severe, and may be fatal due to septicaemia or meningitis ^[4, 24].

With the growth of reptiles as pets in the second half of the 20th century, cases of zoonotic salmonellosis from exposure to fresh water turtles rose significantly according to US data ^[4]. More recently, the US CDC reports outbreaks of *Salmonella* Cotham and *Salmonella* Kisarawe in humans related to pet inland bearded dragons (*Pogona vitticeps*), *Salmonella* Muenchen from pet crested geckos (*Correlophus ciliatus*) and *Salmonella* spp. related to small turtles ^[6, 24].

Most cases of reptile-associated salmonellosis are seen in children under 10 and people who are immunocompromised. Children who have contact with reptiles as pets, or through zoo education programs are considered particularly at risk unless appropriate personal hygiene measures (thorough hand washing) are followed ^[10, 24].

Conclusions

Salmonellosis is the most important zoonotic disease of reptiles. Humans who handle or have contact with reptiles, or who provide reptile contact programs for members of the public, including children, should be aware of the risk of zoonosis. Ongoing, systematic sampling for *Salmonella* spp. in both captive and wild animals, healthy and sick, is required to assess the prevalence of the organism in Australian herpetofauna.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the people who compiled the initial draft of this fact sheet and to those individuals, agencies and organisations that provided comment and external review.

Wildlife Health Australia recognises the Traditional Custodians of Country throughout Australia. We respectfully acknowledge Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples' continuing connection to land, sea, wildlife and community. We pay our respects to them and their cultures, and to their Elders past and present.

Updated: August 2018

References and other information

- 1. Jacobson ER (2007) 'Infectious diseases and pathology of reptiles: color atlas and text.' (CRC Press)
- 2. Schumacher J (2006) Selected infectious diseases of wild reptiles and amphibians. *Journal of Exotic Pet Medicine*, **15**(1): 18-24
- Ladds P (2009) Bacterial diseases in reptiles. In 'Pathology of Australian Native Wildlife.' (Ed P. Ladds) pp. 137-154. (CSIRO publishing: Collingwood)
- 4. Johnson-Delaney C (1996) Reptile zoonoses and threats to public health. In 'Reptile Medicine and Surgery.' (Ed D.R. Mader) pp. 20-33. (Saunders Elsevier: St Louis)
- 5. Scheelings TF, Lightfoot D et al. (2011) Prevalence of *Salmonella* in Australian reptiles. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases*, **47**(1): 1-11
- 6. CDC (2017) Salmonellosis. [cited 2017 12 July 2017]; Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/salmonella/general/index.html
- 7. Brenner FW, Villar RG et al. (2000) *Salmonella* nomenclature. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, **38**(7): 2465-2467
- OIE (2016) Salmonellosis 2.9.8. Manual of diagnostic tests and vaccines for terrestrial animals [cited 13 August 2018 2018]; Available from: http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/tahm/2.09.08_SALMONELLOSIS.pdf
- 9. Clancy MM, Davis M et al. (2016) *Salmonella* infection and carriage in reptiles in a zoological collection. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association*, **248**(9): 1050
- 10. CFSPH (2013) Reptile-associated Salmonellosis. [cited 2017 13th July 2017];
- 11. Lee P and Mackerras I (1955) Salmonella infections of Australian native animals. Australian Journal of Experimental Biology & Medical Science, **33**(1): 117-25
- 12. Thomas A, Forbes-Faulkner J et al. (2001) Salmonelliasis in wildlife from Queensland. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases*, **37**(2): 229-238

- 13. McLelland D, Owens J et al. (2015) *Salmonella* serotypes isolated from reptiles in the Kimberley, in Annual International Conference of the Wildlife Disease Association, T. Portas, Editor. WDA: Twin Waters
- 14. NEPSS (2018) National enteric pathogen surveillance system scheme data: *Salmonella* in reptiles; unpublished data
- 15. Hydeskov H, Guardabassi L et al. (2013) *Salmonella* prevalence among reptiles in a zoo education setting. *Zoonoses and public health*, **60**(4): 291-295
- 16. Isaza R, Garner M et al. (2000) Proliferative osteoarthritis and osteoarthrosis in 15 snakes. *Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine*, **31**(1): 20-27
- Ramsay EC, Daniel GB et al. (2002) Osteomyelitis associated with Salmonella enterica SS arizonae in a colony of ridgenose rattlesnakes (Crotalus willardi). Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine, 33(4): 301-310
- 18. Dodd CK (1988) Disease and population declines in the flattened musk turtle *Sternotherus depressus. American Midland Naturalist*: 394-401
- 19. Mitchell M (2006) *Salmonella*: diagnostic methods for reptiles. In 'Reptile Medicine and Surgery.' (Ed D.R. Mader) pp. 900-905. (Saunders Elsevier: St Louis)
- 20. Paré J, Sigler L et al. (2006) Microbiology: fungal and bacterial diseases of reptiles. In 'Reptile Medicine and Surgery.' (Ed D. Mader) pp. 217-238. (Saunders Elsevier: St. Louis)
- 21. Nardini G, Leopardi S et al. (2013) Clinical hematology in reptilian species. *Veterinary Clinics of North America: Exotic Animal Practice*, **16**(1): 1-30
- 22. Stacy NI, Alleman AR et al. (2011) Diagnostic hematology of reptiles. *Clinics in Laboratory Medicine*, **31**(1): 87-108
- 23. McCracken H (1994) Husbandry and diseases of captive reptiles, in Wildlife, The TG Hungerford Course for Veterinarians, P.G. Foundation, Editor. University of Sydney. 461-545
- 24. AVMA (2016) Amphibians, reptiles and *Salmonella*. 6th July 2017]; Available from: https://www.avma.org/KB/Resources/Reference/Pages/Amphibians-Reptiles-and-Salmonella.aspx

To provide feedback on Fact Sheets

Wildlife Health Australia welcomes your feedback on Fact Sheets. Please email <u>admin@wildlifehealthaustralia.com.au</u>. We would also like to hear from you if you have a particular area of expertise and are interested in creating or updating a WHA Fact Sheet. A small amount of funding is available to facilitate this.

Disclaimer

This Fact Sheet is managed by Wildlife Health Australia for information purposes only. Information contained in it is drawn from a variety of sources external to Wildlife Health Australia. Although reasonable care was taken in its preparation, Wildlife Health Australia does not guarantee or warrant the accuracy, reliability, completeness or currency of the information or its usefulness in achieving any purpose. It should not be relied on in place of professional veterinary or medical consultation. To the fullest extent permitted by law, Wildlife Health Australia will not be liable for any loss, damage, cost or expense incurred in or arising by reason of any person relying on information in this Fact Sheet. Persons should accordingly make and rely on their own assessments and enquiries to verify the accuracy of the information provided.



Find out more at <u>www.wildlifehealthaustralia.com.au</u> Email <u>admin@wildlifehealthaustralia.com.au</u> Or call +61 2 9960 6333