

21 December, 2023

Continued expansion of high pathogenicity avian influenza H5 in wildlife in South America and incursion into the Antarctic region

OFFLU ad-hoc group on HPAI H5 in wildlife of South America and Antarctica

Ashley Banyard: Influenza and Newcastle disease workgroup, Animal and Plant Health Agency, Weybridge, United Kingdom. Ashley.Banyard@apha.gov.uk Lineke Begeman: Department of Viroscience, Erasmus University Medical Centre, Rotterdam, The Netherlands. l.begeman@erasmusmc.nl Jennifer Black: Government of South Georgia & the South Sandwich Islands, Government House, Stanley, Falkland Islands, South Atlantic. jennifer.black@gov.gs Andrew Breed: Epidemiology, Surveillance and Laboratory Section, Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry, Canberra, Australia. and rew.breed@aff.gov.au Meagan Dewar: Future Regions Research Centre, Federation University Australia, Berwick, Australia. m.dewar@federation.edu.au Ruben Fijn: Division of Bird Ecology, Bureau Waardenburg bv, Culemborg, The Netherlands. Thijs Kuiken: Department of Viroscience, Erasmus University Medical Centre, Rotterdam, The Netherlands. t.kuiken@erasmusmc.nl Patricia Pereira Serafini: Laboratório de Biomarcadores de Contaminação Aquática e Imunoquímica, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, Brazil. patricia.serafini@icmbio.gov.br Marcela Uhart: Karen C. Drayer Wildlife Health Center, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of California, USA. muhart@ucdavis.edu Ralph Eric Thijl Vanstreels: Karen C. Drayer Wildlife Health Center, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of California, USA. ralph vanstreels@yahoo.com.br Michelle Wille: Centre for Pathogen Genomics, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, The University of Melbourne, at the Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunology, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia. Michelle.wille@unimelb.edu.au

Disclaimer: This statement provides the point of view of independent OFFLU experts, and does not necessarily reflect the position of the parent organisations FAO and WOAH.

Table of Contents

Abstract	3
1. Emergence and spread of HPAI H5 from 1996 until July 2023	4
2. HPAI H5 in wildlife in South America, 2022 to 2023: overall wildlife mortality and virus spr July 2023	ead since 4
3. Incursion of HPAI H5 into South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur)	7
4. Risk for spread of HPAI H5 to other parts of the Antarctic region	8
5. Risk of spread of HPAI H5 to Oceania	12
6. Response options	15
6.1 Surveillance of wildlife populations for incursion and assessing disease impact upon ir	cursion 15
6.1.1 Virological sampling of apparently healthy wildlife	16
6.1.2 Serological sampling of apparently healthy wildlife	16
6.1.3 Surveillance of wildlife populations for unusual morbidity and mortality	17 17
6.2 Removal of infected carcasses from selected aggregation sites	1%
6.3 Biosafety measures	18
7. Responses to HPAI in practice at South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur)	10
7.1 Surveillance of wildlife populations and assessing disease impact	18
7.2 Removal of carcasses from selected aggregation sites	19
7.3 Biosafety measures	19
References	19
Annex 1 Number of birds and mammals reported dead from 1 November 2022 to 10th of De 2023 by countries in South America.	ecember 26
Annex 2 Antarctic and Subantarctic species list with IUCN Red List assessment and estimated	d number
of mature individuals globally	35

Abstract

This report summarises the spread and impact of high pathogenicity avian influenza of the subtype H5 (HPAI H5) clade 2.3.4.4b in South America, its incursion into South Georgia, and the risk for further spread in the Antarctic region and for incursion into Oceania. The focus of the report is on HPAI H5 in wildlife, and covers neither spread in poultry nor sporadic spillover to humans, as these are the subjects of other reports. We do fully support a One Health approach to HPAI H5: an integrated, unifying approach that aims to sustainably balance and optimise the health of people, animals (wild and domestic) and ecosystems.

Between the first detection of HPAI H5 virus in South America in October 2022 and November 2023, the deaths of 597,832 birds of at least 82 species and 50,785 mammals of at least 10 species have been reported, with the bulk of the reported mortality occurring in Peru and Chile. The highest numbers of reported mortalities in association with the HPAI H5 outbreak include marine mammal species, such as South American sea lions (*Otaria byronia*) (~32,000) and southern elephant seals (*Mirounga leonina*) (~17,000), and seabird species, such as cormorants (*Phalacrocoracidae*) (~262,000), Peruvian boobies (*Sula variegata*) (~242,000), Peruvian pelicans (*Pelecanus thagus*) (~62,000), Humboldt penguins (*Spheniscus humboldti*) (~4,000), various species of gulls (*Larus* spp.) (~7,000), terns (*Larosterna inca, Sterna hirundinacea* and *Thalasseus* spp.) (~3,500) and frigatebirds (*Fregata* spp.) (~7,000). These numbers undoubtedly represent only a fraction of the total mortality.

The first incursion of HPAI H5 virus into the Antarctic region was detected in October 2023 in South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur), part of the Scotia Arc. At the same time, virus incursion was detected in the Falkland Islands (Islas Malvinas), on the continental shelf of South America. The species found infected or suspected infected with HPAI H5 virus include brown skua (*Stercorarius antarcticus*), kelp gull (*Larus dominicanus*), southern fulmar (*Fulmarus glacialoides*), black-browed albatross (*Thalassarche melanophris*), grey-headed albatross (*Thalassarche chrysostoma*) and southern elephant seal, and could be involved in the next stage of spread of HPAI H5 virus in the Antarctic region. The risk of viral transmission to other islands of the Scotia Arc and the Antarctic Peninsula is considered high, and considered medium to several islands that lie in the southern parts of the Pacific, Atlantic and Indian Oceans. From these locations, HPAI H5 virus is likely to spread further in the Antarctic region; and from there, incursion into Oceania is plausible.

HPAI H5 virus is likely to spread further among Antarctic wildlife, potentially infecting the 48 species of birds and 26 species of marine mammals which inhabit this region. The negative impact of HPAI H5 on Antarctic wildlife could be immense, because their presence in dense colonies of up to thousands of pinnipeds and hundreds of thousands of birds facilitates virus transmission and may result in high mortality. As has been seen in the northern hemisphere, it is possible that HPAI H5 virus will persist in the Antarctic region in coming years and spread variably among its wildlife populations. Although little can be done to stop virus spread, there are a few options for response available. It is important to continue monitoring and surveillance of wildlife populations for HPAI H5 virus incursion and assessing spread and impact of disease, both to provide information for wildlife managers to adapt conservation plans, and to help policymakers mitigate and prevent future HPAI outbreaks. It is also important to take biosafety measures to reduce the risk of human-mediated spread of HPAI H5 virus.

1. Emergence and spread of HPAI H5 from 1996 until July 2023

It has taken 27 years for the currently circulating high pathogenicity avian influenza virus of the H5 subtype (HPAI H5) to spread from east Asia to the southern tip of South America. Viruses of this so-called goose/Guangdong/1996 (Gs/GD) lineage were first detected in commercially farmed geese in China in 1996, circulated and evolved in poultry for several years, and subsequently spilled over into wild birds, causing multiple outbreaks in wild birds and poultry in Asia, Europe and Africa in subsequent years. In 2021 a HPAI H5N1 virus belonging to clade 2.3.4.4b of the Gs/GD lineage spread across the Atlantic Ocean to North America. The virus reached South America in October 2022, and rapidly spread southwards. It was first detected in Tierra del Fuego, the southern tip of South America, in April 2023. The spread of HPAI H5 in South America in this period has been described in the previous OFFLU statement and published in August 2023 (1).

2. HPAI H5 in wildlife in South America, 2022 to 2023: overall wildlife mortality and virus spread since July 2023

The overall mortality of wildlife in South America between the arrival of HPAI H5 virus in October 2022 and December 2023 includes 597,832 birds and 50,785 mammals (Annex 1), with the bulk of the mortality occurring in seabirds and marine mammals in Peru (557,140 seabirds and 10,458 marine mammals) and Chile (29,432 seabirds and 20,179 marine mammals). South American sea lions (Otaria byronia) and southern elephant seals (Mirounga leonina) were the most affected marine mammals, but smaller mortalities of South American fur seals (Arctocephalus australis), otters (Lontra spp.) and cetaceans have also been linked to HPAI H5. Among seabirds, Guanay cormorants (Leucocarbo bougainvilliorum), Peruvian boobies (Sula variegata), Peruvian pelicans (Pelecanus thagus), Humboldt penguins (Spheniscus humboldti), and various species of gulls (Larus spp.), terns (Larosterna inca, Sterna hirundinacea and Thalasseus spp.) and frigatebirds (Fregata spp.) appear to have been the most affected. Only a small proportion of animals found dead in the region were tested for HPAI H5 virus, hence it is possible that some mortalities were due to causes other than HPAI H5. Nevertheless, since the number of marine animals found dead in the region during this period was orders of magnitude greater than in previous years, it is reasonable to attribute the majority of these deaths to HPAI H5. It is also worth considering that the numbers of carcasses found and recorded are undoubtedly only a fraction of the total number of individuals that died, especially for those dying at sea.

Genetic studies published thus far have confirmed that all HPAI strains circulating in South America belong to the clade 2.3.4.4b, are of subtype H5N1 and are derived from strains that circulated in North America in 2021–2022 (2-10). Furthermore, these studies have revealed that the HPAI H5N1 viruses infecting marine mammals in Peru and Chile have shown mutations characteristic of adaptation to the mammalian hosts in which they were found, whilst retaining the ability to infect birds (7, 9). This implies that these viruses have the capacity for a broad and flexible host range, increasing their ability to spread and sustain year-round transmission across different wildlife populations. Furthermore, the viruses detected in mammals with adaptive mutations that may reflect enhanced replication in these hosts raises concern on the increased potential to infect humans. Fortunately, despite the extensive infection of marine mammals, the number of human infections has remained low in South America with only two cases reported thus far, associated with exposure to backyard poultry and contaminated environments, respectively (one in Ecuador in January 2023, and the other in Chile in March 2023 (11, 12)). Notably, the virus implicated in the human case in northern Chile possessed mammalian adaptations in the PB2 segment, namely the mutations D701N and Q591K, which were also present in phylogenetically clustering viruses found in sea lions and a shorebirds in Chile (9, 12).

Since the previous OFFLU statement in August 2023 (1), the following events are of significance to wildlife:

- Brazil reported mortality of >900 terns along its coast between May and July 2023. Four species were affected, including South American terns (*Sterna hirundinacea*), Cayenne terns (*Thalasseus acuflavidus eurygnathus*) and Royal terns (*Thalasseus maximus*) which are native to the region, as well as common terns (*Sterna hirundo*) which are migrants from the northern hemisphere (13). Genetic analyses revealed that the virus from a Cayenne tern was closely related to the strains that circulated among swans in Uruguay and seabirds in Chile, indicating that transmission likely occurred locally in South America (10).
- In September 2023, HPAI H5 virus was detected for the first time in the Galápagos Archipelago. The virus was detected in a small number of great frigatebirds (*Fregata minor*) at Genovesa Island and red-footed boobies (*Sula sula*) at San Cristóbal and Genovesa Islands (14). These detections were made following mortalities of these species, but no estimates of the number affected have been published thus far. The Galápagos are home to a large number of endemic seabirds and marine mammals, which would presumably be susceptible to HPAI H5 virus if it spread locally, with potentially disastrous impacts.
- In October 2023, more than 1,700 South American sea lions and South American fur seals were found dead along the coasts of Uruguay and southernmost Brazil, and the majority of these deaths were attributed to HPAI H5 (14-16). This represents a northward spread of the South American sea lion mortality previously seen in Argentina; however, the mortality in Uruguay and Brazil comprised a substantially larger proportion of South American fur seals. This suggests that the greater mortality of South American sea lions (compared to South American fur seals) seen in Argentina may have reflected regional differences in species distribution and habitat use, and not species-specific differences in susceptibility to the virus. This implies that clustered populations of fur seals may be highly vulnerable to HPAI H5, for example in the case of Antarctic fur seals (*Arctocephalus gazella*) for which 95% of their global breeding population is concentrated in South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur).
- In October and November 2023, a mass mortality of southern elephant seal pups was attributed to HPAI H5 in Peninsula Valdés, Chubut, Argentina. It was estimated that 97.4% of the pups died, which represents an estimated death toll of 17,400 individuals (17). There is also evidence that substantial numbers of adults may have died at sea, although the death toll could not be estimated. This is the single largest mortality of southern elephant seals on record, and may have profound and long-lasting effects on the viability of this population, which produces >5% of global pup production of this species (18).

- In October and November 2023, HPAI H5 was confirmed in several species of terns at numerous sites along the coast of Patagonia, Argentina. This included South American terns, Cayenne terns and Royal terns. At Punta Leon breeding colony, all three species of terns, plus imperial cormorants (*Leucocarbo atriceps*) and kelp gulls (*Larus dominicanus*) were confirmed positive. Of these, terns were the species displaying the most severe neurological signs followed by death, and suffered acute high mortality over 2–3 weeks, affecting over 60% of the colony (>2,000 birds found dead). Interestingly, kelp gulls appeared to experience much lower morbidity and mortality, in spite of this species being frequently seen scavenging on South American sea lions, southern elephant seals and seabirds that had died from HPAI H5 in the region. The clinical signs observed in symptomatic kelp gulls also seemed milder (ruffled feathers, conjunctivitis and lethargy) than those seen in tern species (severe neurological and respiratory signs), suggesting that kelp gulls may be more resilient to the infection.
- In October and November 2023, HPAI H5 was detected for the first time in the Falkland Islands (Islas Malvinas). The detections were made from two southern fulmars (*Fulmarus glacialoides*) and two black-browed albatrosses (*Thalassarche melanophris*) found dead at different sites (19). The positive detection in one of the black-browed albatrosses, at Steeple Jason Island, was associated with mortality of approximately 30 black-browed albatrosses (19). This is concerning because Steeple Jason Island is home to ~200,000 breeding pairs of black-browed albatrosses, representing nearly half of the species' population at the Falkland Islands (Islas Malvinas) which in turn concentrates 70% of the species' global population (20). Furthermore, the archipelago is a critically important breeding habitat for numerous seabird species such as penguins, petrels and shearwaters.
- In November 2023, the mortality of 1,000 great frigatebirds and 6,000 magnificent frigatebirds (*Fregata magnificens*) on the coast of Ecuador was attributed to HPAI H5 (14). Although HPAI H5 virus infection had been previously detected in frigatebirds, this was the first large-scale mortality event attributed to HPAI H5. This highlights that other populations of frigatebirds may also be at risk, which is concerning for critically endangered species such as the Atlantic lesser frigatebird (*Fregata trinitatis*) and the Christmas frigatebird (*Fregata andrewsi*).
- In November 2023, mortalities of puna (James's) flamingos (*Phoenicoparrus jamesi*) were recorded in Catamarca (220 individuals) and La Rioja (17 individuals), in northwest Argentina (21, 22). HPAI H5 virus infection was confirmed as the cause of death of individuals in Catamarca (23). This is the first mass mortality of flamingos attributed to HPAI H5, which raises concern for the populations of puna flamingos (which has IUCN assessment 'Near threatened', stable) and Andean flamingos (*Phoenicoparrus andinus*) (IUCN 'vulnerable', declining), both of which are endemic to the Andes highlands.
- A number of HPAI H5 virus detections have been made in migratory seabirds and shorebirds found dead in non-breeding areas along the coast of South America, such as: waved albatross (*Phoebastria irrorata*) in Peru, elegant tern (*Thalasseus elegans*), sanderling (*Calidris alba*), sooty shearwater (*Ardenna grisea*), southern giant petrel (*Macronectes giganteus*) and black-browed albatross in northern Chile, common tern, Manx shearwater (*Puffinus puffinus*), white-chinned petrel (*Procellaria aequinoctialis*) and Antarctic prion (*Pachyptila desolata*) in Brazil (14). These

detections demonstrate that seabirds are being exposed to the virus during their migration, raising concern of their potential role in transporting it to breeding colonies upon returning for the nesting season. Furthermore, considering that many of these species are pelagic, these detections suggest at-sea transmission among seabirds may be occurring.

3. Incursion of HPAI H5 into South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur)

The first incursion of HPAI H5 virus into the Antarctic region was detected in a brown skua (*Stercorarius antarcticus*) found dead on 8 October 2023 on Bird Island, South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur) (3). South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur) is part of the Antarctic region based on its location south of the Antarctic polar front (Figure 1). However, it is not located within the area south of 60° South Latitude to which the Antarctic Treaty applies.



Fig. 1 Map of Antarctica, showing the approximate position of the Antarctic Polar Front (dashed blue line, drawn from (24)) and the location of the island groups in the Antarctic (blue) and Subantarctic (green) island groups. Red dots represent confirmed HPAI H5N1 cases as of 15 December 2023. **Inset.** Map of Antarctica with research stations indicated by green dots. Locations of research stations were derived from the Council of Managers of National Antarctic Programs (25). Blue line here shows the 60° South Latitude.

The first indication of HPAI H5 virus incursion in South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur) was on 17 September 2023, when a southern giant petrel with neurological signs consistent with HPAI was observed on Bird Island. It died and its carcass was scavenged by brown skuas and other southern giant petrels. On 8 October, brown skuas with neurological signs were observed at the same location, and by 17 November 57 brown skuas had been found dead there. By virological analysis, all 15 brown skuas and 6 of 18 kelp gulls found dead and sampled on Bird Island and four other locations on South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur) between 8 October and 3 November were found to be infected with HPAI H5N1 virus. By genetic analysis, the HPAI H5N1 virus from Bird Island clustered with those from Uruguay, Peru and Chile collected between December 2022 and April 2023. However, genetic analysis also indicated that there were insufficient virus sequences available in the public database to characterise the evolutionary ancestry of the virus more accurately (3).

There also was evidence of HPAI-associated mortality of southern elephant seals on South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur). This is of concern because of the catastrophic mortality HPAI H5 already has shown to cause at Península Valdés (17), and because more 50% of the global pup production of this species takes place at South Georgia (26). The first indication was on 31 October 2023, when southern elephant seals in Moltke Harbour were observed with respiratory signs or were found dead (3). By 20 November, high mortality of southern elephant seal pups had been observed at three sites around South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur) (27), and by 26 November, southern elephant seals suspected of HPAI had been observed at ten different sites around South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur) (28, 29). However, nasal and rectal swabs of three southern elephant seals found dead on 31 October were negative for HPAI virus by virological analysis, consisting of real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (3). A possible reason for these negative results may be due to tropism of HPAI H5 virus for the central nervous system of seals, which requires sampling of the brain but was not performed in this case for biosafety reasons (30). Further sample collection from southern elephant seals on South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur) is being undertaken to confirm the presence of HPAI H5 virus. Between 4 and 26 November, further suspected cases in brown skuas, kelp gulls, southern giant petrels and Antarctic terns (Sterna vittata) have been reported along the east coast of South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur) (28, 29).

4. Risk for spread of HPAI H5 to other parts of the Antarctic region

Antarctica can be defined in different ways. The provisions of the Antarctic Treaty apply to the area south of 60 degrees Southern Latitude, including all ice shelves (31). However, biogeographically (i.e. based on the distribution of species and ecosystems in space and time), the Antarctic region extends north of this line and includes the waters and islands up to the Antarctic polar front, the boundary where southern, colder and nutrient-rich waters meet warmer waters. The Antarctic convergence is also the northern limit of the area of the Convention for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (32). For the purpose of this report, we will consider the Antarctic region from the biogeographical perspective.

Information on the HPAI H5 virus incursions into the Falkland Islands (Islas Malvinas) and South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur)—in particular, the avian and mammalian species affected or suspected, and when and where they were found—helps to understand how the

virus reached these islands. This information also helps to assess what the next stage may be in the spread of HPAI H5 virus into the Antarctic region.

The Patagonian Shelf may have an important role in the recent epidemiology of HPAI H5 (33). The Patagonian Shelf adjoins the coasts of Uruguay, Argentina and the Falkland Islands (Islas Malvinas), extending from 35 degrees Southern Latitude south to the tip of Tierra del Fuego, and from the coast to approximately the 1000 m isobath. The Patagonian Shelf in the Southwest Atlantic Ocean is an important foraging ground for a large number of top predators, including albatrosses, petrels, penguins, sea lions, and elephant seals. More than 60 species of resident and visiting seabirds forage there (34, 35). Since there was high HPAI-associated mortality of seabirds and southern elephant seals in October and November 2023 on the coast of Argentina, and of South American sea lions and South American fur seals since August 2023 on the coast of Argentina, Uruguay and southern Brazil (see above), all adjoining the Patagonian Shelf, it is probable that the seabirds foraging on the Patagonian Shelf in recent months were exposed to HPAI H5 virus.

Of the avian species confirmed or suspected for HPAI H5 virus infection in the Falkland Islands (Islas Malvinas) and South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur), the majority are species that use the Patagonian Shelf as a staging area during the austral winter, which is the period outside the breeding season for many species. From there, they return between September and November, the dates when HPAI virus detections or suspicions occurred, to their breeding sites, which —except for the southern fulmar — include the Falkland Islands (Islas Malvinas) and South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur) (36). Another suspected avian species regarding virus transmission, the southern giant petrel, is present year-round on South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur), but has a large home range and also disperses widely over the Southern Ocean including the Patagonian Shelf during the non-breeding period (37). Therefore, it is possible that these avian species became infected with HPAI H5 virus on the Patagonian Shelf and introduced it onto Falkland Islands (Islas Malvinas) and South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur), although an alternative or additional possibility for some species (southern giant petrel, brown skua) is local infection by scavenging on contaminated carcasses. The latter route of infection also is most likely for the kelp gull, found positive for HPAI H5 virus on South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur), because it is largely resident there.

Assuming that these avian species (brown skua, southern fulmar, black-browed albatross, grey-headed albatross, Antarctic prion) did become infected on the Patagonian Shelf, to which locations could they introduce HPAI H5 virus?

Brown skuas that spend the austral winter on the Patagonian shelf breed on other islands of the Scotia Arc and on the northern part of the Antarctic Peninsula. Alternatively, they may fly to breeding sites on Subantarctic islands in the southern Atlantic Ocean (Tristan da Cunha, Gough and Bouvet Islands) and may come in contact with brown skuas that have been wintering around Africa and breed on Subantarctic Islands in the southern Indian Ocean (Prince Edward, Crozet, and Kerguelen Islands) (38).

For southern fulmars, the closest breeding sites from the Falkland Islands (Islas Malvinas) are the South Sandwich Islands (Islas Sándwich del Sur), the South Orkney Islands (Islas Orcadas del Sur), the Antarctic Peninsula and Peter I Island (39).

Black-browed albatrosses—besides breeding on the Falkland Islands (Islas Malvinas) and South Georgia—also breed on other (sub-)Antarctic islands including Crozet, Kerguelen, and Heard & McDonald Islands. Later in the breeding season, birds from South Georgia (Islas Georgias del Sur) may also travel up to c. 3000 km from their breeding sites, especially to the Antarctic Peninsula and South Orkney Islands (Islas Orcadas del Sur), but birds from the Falkland Islands (Islas Malvinas) remain close to their colonies during the whole breeding period. Post-breeding, the majority of Falkland Islands (Islas Malvinas) birds remain on the Patagonian Shelf throughout the year, while birds from South Georgia (Islas Georgias del Sur) migrate primarily northeast across the South Atlantic to the coastal shelf of South Africa and the Benguela Current area, with small proportions wintering on the Patagonian Shelf or around Australia (40).

Grey-headed albatrosses—besides breeding on South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur)—also breed at other Subantarctic islands including Prince Edward, Crozet, Kerguelen, and Macquarie. This species has a circumpolar distribution over cold Subantarctic and Antarctic waters (41). Movements of non-breeding grey-headed albatrosses have only been reported for birds breeding on South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur). They showed one of three migratory movements, (i) residence in the southwest Atlantic and adjacent areas (including the Patagonian Shelf); (ii) return migrations to winter in habitats known to be used by other albatrosses in the Indian Ocean; and (iii) one or more global circumnavigations—always in eastward direction—with foraging in areas and habitats used in options (i) and (ii) and also in additional staging areas in the Indian and Pacific Oceans (42).

Antarctic prions are the most southerly breeding of all prion species. Individuals that spend the austral winter on the Patagonian shelf may nest in large numbers on all islands of the Scotia Arc. Large numbers of subspecies of Antarctic Prion breed on subantarctic islands in the Indian Ocean, near New Zealand, and probably near the Antarctic continent on Scott Island. This species breeds in large colonies in self-excavated burrows in areas with moss vegetation, or in rock crevices. They congregate in large rafts at sea just before dusk and attend the colonies in huge flocks just after dark. After the breeding season, Antarctic Prions disperse in a wide geographical range between the Antarctic pack-ice in the South and about 35 degrees south. They are commonly found on the Patagonian Shelf and in the Humboldt Current off South America during the austral winter. One infected Antarctic Prion was found in Brazil (Annex 1). This bird probably originates from the breeding colonies in the South Atlantic (based on (43)), although Antarctic Prions from South Georgia can also disperse into the Pacific (44).

Besides avian species, information on suspected HPAI H5 virus infection in southern elephant seals in South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur) also helps both to understand how the virus reached these islands and to assess what the next stage may be in the spread of HPAI virus into the Antarctic region. It is possible that southern elephant seals at South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur) initially acquired the infection locally, by contact with infected birds (e.g. brown skua, southern giant petrel, kelp gull) or haul-out sites contaminated with their faeces. Alternatively, infected southern elephant seals from the Patagonian Shelf and adjoining coasts—e.g. Península Valdés where there was a large die-off of this species—may have introduced the virus to South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur). It fits specifically with the immigration of southern elephant seals from Peninsula Valdes to South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur) during the post-breeding moulting season, and emigration of individuals to the South Shetland Islands (Islas Shetland del Sur) (45). From South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur), it is possible that infected southern elephant seals transport the virus to the remainder of the Scotia Arc—South Shetland Islands (Islas Shetland del Sur), South Orkney Islands (Islas Orcadas del Sur), and South Sandwich Islands (Islas Sándwich del Sur)—and to the Antarctic Peninsula. This fits with the general migration patterns of southern elephant seals, which leave their breeding sites after breeding or moulting and migrate south to Antarctica to feed on squid and fish at the edge of the sea-ice (reference).

Based on these data, the above-named species could be involved in the next stage of HPAI H5 virus spread in the Antarctic region. The risk of infection via these species is considered high for other islands of the Scotia Arc and the Antarctic Peninsula, and considered intermediate for several islands that lie in the southern parts of the Pacific, Atlantic and Indian Oceans, from west to east: Peter I, Tristan da Cunha, Gough, Bouvet, Prince Edward, Crozet, Kerguelen Islands. The introduction of HPAI H5 virus to these locations during the breeding season, when many Antarctic bird and mammal species are aggregated at high densities, is likely to result in rapid local spread associated with high mortality in several of these species, as has been seen in continents where the virus already has become established. Details of the avian, pinniped, and cetacean species that may be affected (Annex 2) are provided in the previous OFFLU update (1, 46-48).

If HPAI H5 virus completes the above-suggested stage of spread, further virus spread in the Antarctic region is likely given the many avian and mammalian species that probably are susceptible to infection and their overlapping ranges that form a wide circumpolar band around the south pole (Figure 2). This virus spread in the Antarctic region, which consists of dense breeding colonies or other aggregations of susceptible avian or mammalian hosts at variable distances of tens to hundreds of kilometres from each other, can be compared to a relay race, with the virus as baton, infected migrating hosts as runners, and common foraging/stopover/breeding sites, as relay stations. How far the virus is able to spread in the Antarctic region depends on the length of the relays (which is determined by the distance that infected migrating hosts travel during the period of infection, which is about 4 to 7 days) (49-51), and the success of virus transfer to new migrating hosts at the relay stations (which is increased by local virus amplification, and determined in part by the number and density of susceptible hosts at the relay station).

On the Antarctic continent several scenarios are plausible. For example, if HPAI virus enters one of the emperor penguin (*Aptenodytes forsteri*) breeding colonies, that are located on the sea ice along the edge of the whole Antarctic continent (52), it could potentially spread to adjacent emperor penguin colonies via scavenging birds including southern giant petrels and south polar skuas (*Catharacta maccormicki*). In this way, circumpolar spread of HPAI virus could occur to the whole emperor penguin population.

If HPAI virus infects either Weddell seals (*Leptonychotes weddellii*) or crabeater seals (*Lobodon carcinophaga*), which are distributed all around the Antarctic continent (53, 54), the virus could spread to other seals of this species, especially when they haul out in small multi-species groups on ice floes along the coast. In this way, HPAI virus could spread throughout the whole crabeater and Weddell seal populations. Birds scavenging on seal carcasses could play a role in transmission. Such scavenging birds include southern giant petrels, south polar skuas and snowy sheathbills (*Chionis albus*) for carcasses on land (55-

58), and southern fulmars, Cape petrels (*Daption capense*) and Wilson's storm-petrels (*Oceanites oceanicus*) for carcasses in the water (59).



Fig 2. Geographic distribution of seabird diversity (A), and pinniped diversity (B). These maps show the number of avian and pinniped species occurring per location, as a measure of the potential for HPAI H5 virus to spread. Avian and pinniped diversity are drawn using the same colour gradient and were derived from publicly-available species distribution maps (BirdLife International and Handbook of the Birds of the World, 2019; International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources, 2019).

If HPAI virus infects any of the above-named scavenging avian species, they not only can spread the virus further along the continent of Antarctic, but also transport the virus to any of the Antarctic islands like Bouvet Island, where they have breeding sites. Once present on an Antarctic island, the virus could spread to other avian species with breeding colonies on that island. Please see the previous OFFLU update for more details of avian, pinniped and cetacean populations at risk of HPAI in the Antarctic region.

5. Risk of spread of HPAI H5 to Oceania

Oceania is the only geographical region where the Gs/GD lineage of HPAI H5 virus has not been detected (as of December 2023). The term Oceania is used here to denote the geographical region which includes most of the island countries and territories in the Pacific Ocean, as well as Australia. Current risk assessments focus on potential incursion from Asia and North America, through two main scenarios (60): First, incursion via migratory shorebirds and seabirds. Oceania comprises the key non-breeding area for shorebirds utilising the East-Asian Australasian flyway. Millions of shorebirds breeding in North America and Asia arrive in Oceania between September and November each year, following stopover sites in China, southeast Asia and Indonesia (61). Similarly, millions of seabirds arrive to breed in Australia, such as the short-tailed shearwater (*Puffinus tenuirostris*), which spends the non-breeding season in the north Pacific. (62) Presently, the likelihood of this scenario is considered moderate. Second, incursion via local movements of ducks within the Australo-Papuan Zone (east of the Wallace Line). Presently, the likelihood of this scenario is considered moderate given no evidence of Gs/GD HPAI H5 virus east of the Wallace line, however this clade was reported on the island of Borneo in 2022 which is adjacent to the Wallace line (63, 64). While these scenarios comprise the most likely routes of incursion to Oceania, a third scenario is also plausible: incursion via the Antarctic region. Due to limited available data on avian population structures or fine-scale tracking data, there is high uncertainty around this third scenario.



Fig 3: Subantarctic islands at the interface between the Antarctic region below and Oceania above, with the Heard & McDonald Islands furthest west (A). Subantarctic islands located around New Zealand (B).

There are a number of Subantarctic islands at the interface between the Antarctic region and Oceania, which include Macquarie (54.6208° S, 158.8556° E), Auckland (50.7447° S, 166.0564° E), Campbell (52.5372° S, 169.1445° E), Snares (48.0352° S, 166.5480° E), Antipodes (49.684°S, 178.781°E) and Bounty Islands (47.7333° S, 179.0500° E) (Figure 3). These Subantarctic islands are largely occupied by members of the Charadriiformes (n=31 species recorded), Sphenisciformes (n=12 species recorded), Procellariiformes (n=50 species recorded), and Pelecaniiformes (n=11 species recorded). The islands are also occupied by a number of Anseriformes (n=13 species recorded), including Pacific black duck (Anas supercilliosa) and mallards (Anas platyrhynchos), which are also found in Australia and New Zealand, although genetic studies indicate movement of these ducks to be localised. Numerous passerine species are also present, although many are introduced species including blackbirds (Turdus merula), chaffinches (Fringilla coelebs) and starlings (Sturnus vulgaris). These islands are also important areas for Subantarctic fur seals (Arctocephalus tropicalis), Antarctic fur seals (Arctocephalus gazella), New Zealand fur seals (Arctocephalus forsteri) and southern elephant seals. The more distant Antarctic Heard and McDonald Islands (53.0818° S,73.5042° E) are occupied mostly by Procellariiformes (n=32 species recorded) and Sphenisciformes (n=7 species recorded). They are also breeding sites for Subantarctic fur seals, Antarctic fur seals, southern elephant seals, but are also used yearround by non-breeding and juvenile leopard seals (Hydrurga leptonyx), and both Weddell and crabeater seals are occasional visitors.

Could Gs/GD HPAI H5 virus arrive with the long-distance movements of seabirds directly from the Antarctic region adjacent to South America? Numerous seabird species have circumpolar distributions that include South Georgia and the Subantarctic Islands of Oceania, such as brown skua and northern giant petrel (*Macronectes halli*). Limited available tracking data, largely from breeding individuals, demonstrate regionally restricted movements (65). However, kernel density analysis of non-breeding brown skuas tagged on Crozet and Kerguelen Islands indicate that birds connect Southern Africa with Australia, demonstrating potentially vast long-distance movements in this species, which has been shown to be infected with HPAI H5 (38). Northern giant petrels, which visit Australia, New Zealand and associated islands have long-distance movement whereby young birds tend to disperse great distances from the breeding colonies, often with an eastward movement likely due to prevailing westerly winds such that birds from the Oceania Subantarctic islands (e.g. Macquarie Island) may disperse to South America, including one confirmed detection of a bird banded on Macquarie Island found on South Georgia (66-69). Unfortunately, there is no available tracking data from kelp gulls and southern fulmars from Oceania's Subantarctic islands, as these species similarly have large circumpolar distributions, are a "scavenger species", and have been found infected with H5 HPAI on South Georgia and the Falkland Islands. Given the almost 10,000 km between the Subantarctic islands around South America and Oceania, this scenario would require (a) birds be capable of long-distance flight while infected, and (b) this distance be covered in a short period of time (i.e. no longer than the duration of infection). Studies of albatross flight indicate a maximum speed of ~20 m/sec (~70 km/hr) (70), such that a wandering albatross (*Diomedea exulans*) could theoretically take a minimum of 5.7 days to fly from Tierra del Fuego to New Zealand, which is within the expected range of duration of infection.

An additional scenario becomes plausible only when HPAI H5 virus infections occur in the area of Antarctic directly south of Oceania (i.e Wilkes Land, Victoria Land and the Ross Sea). Numerous seabird species utilizing the Subantarctic islands adjacent to Oceania, New Zealand and Australia for breeding rely on the polar front as a key foraging area. For example, tracking studies of short-tailed shearwaters breeding in South Australia, Victoria and Tasmania, demonstrated that the polar front is a key foraging area (71-74). Similarly, foraging locations for Macquarie Island king penguins (Aptenodytes patagonicus) for 23 individuals tracked during late incubation (late December 1998–January 1999) showed that animals forage south and east of Macquarie Island, extending as far south as the polar front (75). Key scavenging and predatory species, including giant petrels (*Micronectes* spp), southern Fulmar, and brown skuas also are also likely to connect the Subantarctic islands with the polar front. Southern elephant seals and leopard seals also connect the Subantarctic islands and Oceania with both species considered vagrant species to the southern coasts of Australia including Tasmania, Victoria, and South Australia (76 2016). In New Zealand, leopard seals have been reclassified as 'resident' (77). Both species also visit the New Zealand coast.

The potential role of the Antarctic Heard and McDonald Islands is less clear. The avian populations of these islands comprise mostly penguins and pelagic seabirds such that it is plausible that HPAI H5 could arrive at these islands through birds foraging at the polar front. However, whether HPAI H5 would be carried the >4000 km from the Heard and McDonald Islands to Australia and New Zealand is governed by the same constraints as outlined above: birds need to be able to move a great distance within the infectious period of HPAI.

Given movement data demonstrating connectivity between the polar front to both the Antarctic and Subantarctic islands of Oceania, and Oceania itself, it is plausible that if HPAI H5 were present in the Antarctic region directly south of Oceania, it could be introduced to Oceania.

6. Response options

There are several options for response by people in the Antarctic region, including those working at the many Antarctic research stations (Figure 1). A number of responses are summarised below, with a focus on responses that aim to prevent or reduce the impact of HPAI H5 on wildlife populations as much as possible. Please see the previous OFFLU report for more details on these response options (1).

6.1 Surveillance of wildlife populations for incursion and assessing disease impact upon incursion

The surveillance of HPAI H5 viruses in wildlife in the Antarctic region, including timely sharing of disease diagnosis and of viral genome sequences, will enable observation of virus evolution and prompt detection of new virus introductions, reassortant viruses and genetic mutations, all of which are relevant for animal and public health (78).

As is the case with all World Organisation for Animal Health (WOAH) -listed diseases, only validated reports are accepted for inclusion in the World Animal Health Information System (WAHIS). WAHIS provides public and transparent access to the world animal health situation. A key element of WAHIS is an early warning system for the immediate management of alert notices for WOAH-listed diseases and emerging diseases, including HPAI. Reports of WOAHlisted diseases such as HPAI, are made by the country Delegate or Focal point (from Member countries), and usually requires diagnostic confirmation from a designated country reference laboratory. Notwithstanding, suspect events (i.e., unconfirmed or suspect mortalities) are covered under Article 1.1.5 which encourages member countries to provide WOAH with other important animal health information beyond confirmed listed or emerging diseases (WOAH Terrestrial Code, chapters 1.1.3 and 1.1.5 (79)). In the case of outbreaks in the Antarctic region or Subantarctic islands, there could be a delay of several weeks before the HPAI diagnosis can be confirmed at a reference laboratory. To partially compensate for this, the Antarctic Wildlife Health Network of the Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research have established a central repository to record and monitor all suspected and confirmed HPAI outbreaks in the region, to assist with monitoring the movement of HPAI throughout the Subantarctic and Antarctic regions but to also increase our understanding of its impacts on wildlife populations (29). All reports of unusual behaviour and mortality of wildlife are recorded in the database. Information about existing reports is provided to the public including date and location of detection, species affected and status (confirmed, suspected, or under investigation).

It is likely that collection, shipment and testing of samples in many parts of the Antarctic region will be complicated by challenges including transport and site access; lack of trained and permitted staff and limited access to adequate personal protective equipment (PPE) to

collect samples; lack of trained staff and appropriate laboratory facilities to test samples; and permit requirements to import/export samples from gateway cities for testing in appropriate and certified laboratories, especially for scientists traveling on tourist vessels.

Well-documented descriptions of HPAI H5 outbreaks in wildlife are important to evaluate the impact of this disease on wildlife populations. This information can help wildlife managers to adapt conservation plans, and can help policymakers with planning to mitigate and prevent future HPAI outbreaks, not only in poultry and humans, but also in wildlife (80).

It is possible that HPAI H5 virus will remain present in the Antarctic region in coming years and spread among populations and species of wild birds and mammals unevenly and erratically, based on its epidemiology in other parts of the world. In Europe, for example, the virus has remained present in wild bird populations since 2020, with notable HPAIassociated die-offs of barnacle geese (*Branta leucopsis*) and great skuas (*Stercorarius skua*) in 2020-2021, of northern gannets (*Morus bassanus*) and Sandwich terns (*Thalasseus sandvicensis*) in 2021-2022, of black-headed gulls (*Chroicocephalus ridibundus*) in 2022-2023, and of common cranes (*Grus grus*) in autumn 2023-2024 (81, 82 2023, 83, 84). Monitoring the dynamics of HPAI virus infection in wildlife populations and assessing the population impact of HPAI is therefore not only a response option for the coming months, but for the coming years. There are several methods to do so.

6.1.1 Virological sampling of apparently healthy wildlife

Sampling apparently healthy wild animals for presence of virus by virological analysis of swabs from throat and cloaca (birds) or from nose and throat (mammals) allows detection of animals that are currently infected with HPAI virus without showing clinical signs. Such analysis is important to determine which wildlife species—depending on their movements— may play a role in spreading the virus over large distances (85). This is particularly relevant for species observed interacting with clinically ill animals or infected carcasses, through predation, scavenging or other behaviours (e.g., social interactions). A potential drawback is obtaining negative results if sampling occurs when animals are not actively shedding virus.

6.1.2 Serological sampling of apparently healthy wildlife

Sampling apparently healthy wild animals for presence of anti-virus antibodies by immunological analysis of blood sera allows detection of animals that have been infected with HPAI virus in the past and have survived. If an adequate and appropriately selected number of animals from the population are sampled, this provides evidence of the HPAI status of the population: whether it is still free of HPAI, whether it has been infected in the past, and to which degree it has been infected. Such analysis is particularly important to determine which wildlife populations play a role in long-term maintenance of HPAI H5 virus infection in a geographical region (86). As with virological sampling, this is particularly relevant for species observed interacting with clinically ill animals or infected carcasses. The added benefit in this case is that antibody response would be detectable beyond the usually short viremic or viral shedding period.

6.1.3 Surveillance of wildlife populations for unusual morbidity and mortality

Surveillance for a sudden increase in mortality as well as any animals showing clinical signs of central nervous system disease (e.g. twisted neck, inability to stay upright, inability to fly, uncoordinated movement, walking or swimming in circles, partial or full paralysis) often provides the first evidence that HPAI has entered a wildlife population; proof requires virus detection by virological analysis of sick or dead animals (87). Photographic, and especially video recording of such clinical signs is very important since the response to infection in many wild species is unknown. In some species clinical signs are predominantly neurological, but in others it is respiratory, or a combination of both.

The subsequent counting of dead animals and recording their demographic parameters (e.g. sex, age, etc.) during a HPAI die-off is important to provide a minimum estimate of the mortality rate in the affected wildlife population as a parameter for the impact of HPAI at the population level (88). This information is also essential to build population models, needed to estimate population recovery following outbreaks (e.g. the loss of adult or young females will have larger consequences than the loss of males).

Surveillance of wildlife populations for mortality and clinical signs suggestive of HPAI, as well as counting the number of affected animals, can be performed by dedicated observation of specific wildlife aggregation sites by researchers (89). Tourist and fisheries vessels can also be useful in assisting surveillance of monitoring wildlife populations in remote areas not frequented by scientists, government organisations or the general public. Tourist vessels have played an important role already this season in the Antarctic region by providing observational data and reporting any unusual behaviour and mortality events to the International Association of Antarctic Tour Operators and local governments immediately after sightings. These reported sightings have enabled a rapid response to suspected cases in the region. All cases for the region are then reported to the Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research's HPAI monitoring project (29), a central database for all suspected and confirmed cases in the Antarctic region. Relevant complementary data on wildlife mortality and morbidity also can be obtained from websites like eBird, observation.org, and iNaturalist, where members of the public share their nature observations (90).

6.1.4 Virological and pathological analyses of wildlife suspected of HPAI infection

Sampling carcasses of wildlife suspected of HPAI infection for presence of virus by virological analysis of swabs (see above), and—if possible—of postmortem tissue samples including brain, provides stronger evidence that a mortality event is caused by HPAI virus infection, and also can elucidate tissue tropism of HPAI H5 virus and routes of spread within populations, for example southern elephant seals. Virological analysis can be complemented by pathological analysis of the same postmortem tissues to provide evidence that the HPAI virus caused pathological damage to the tissues (91).

For above methods, appropriate biosafety measures (see below) need to be applied to minimize the chance of HPAI virus transmitting to the researchers involved, to other wild animals on site, and to wild animals at other sites. An appropriate carcass and waste disposal plan is necessary for this type of sampling.

6.2 Removal of infected carcasses from selected aggregation sites

Collecting and removing carcasses of wild animals that have died of disease can, in some cases, reduce contamination and transmission to other wild animals (92). Carcasses are an important source of virus and can remain infectious for days to weeks, depending on ambient temperature (93). Therefore, complete removal of all carcasses may be a sound management technique, especially if performed repeatedly soon after HPAI enters a site. However, although there are studies that suggest that carcass removal is effective, formal testing of its efficacy remains to be performed (94). In the Antarctic region, removal of HPAI-virus-infected carcasses rarely is possible for numerous practical and logistical reasons. However, in selected cases, e.g. a well-monitored breeding colony of a threatened wild bird species, it may be relevant.

6.3 Biosafety measures

Biosafety measures may be taken for two reasons: first, to reduce the risk of spread of HPAI virus to a new wildlife area by human movements; second, to reduce the risk of people becoming infected with HPAI virus. One set of measures to reduce the risk of virus spread to a new wildlife area consists of cleaning and disinfecting footwear, clothing, instruments and vehicles between leaving one area and entering a second one. Another set of measures consists of restricting the access of people into wildlife areas that are suspected or known to be infected, while enabling other desired measures, such as quantifying HPAI-associated wildlife mortality. Measures to reduce the risk of people becoming infected with HPAI virus include restricting activities that require contact with wildlife, training people in safely handling wildlife, and wearing personal protective gear while handling wildlife. Strictly following established guidelines for working with wildlife during outbreaks (e.g. (95) ACAP guidelines) and working with trained personnel is strongly recommended.

7. Responses to HPAI in practice at South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur)

In readiness for the incursion of HPAI into South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur), the Government of South Georgia & the South Sandwich Islands (GSGSSI) produced a handbook to safeguard South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur) and the South Sandwich Islands (Islas Sándwich del Sur) against the introduction and spread of invasive non-native species and pathogens, including HPAI (96). This handbook contains a separate chapter dedicated to guidance on HPAI risk and response in the Territory, which describes the tiered response in relation to risk and presence of HPAI, enhanced biosafety procedures, signs to be vigilant for and the steps that will be put in place for different groups / activities depending on the response level. When HPAI H5 virus was first suspected in a southern giant petrel with neurological signs on 17 September 2023 at Bird Island (3), the responses were put into practice. They are summarized below.

7.1 Surveillance of wildlife populations and assessing disease impact

The GSGSSI focuses on careful monitoring for morbidity/mortality and sampling carcasses for virological analysis. Researchers monitoring wildlife report any unusual behaviour or mortality to the local government (GSGSSI) and competent authorities (Department for

Environment, Food & Rural Affairs, DEFRA), and response teams subsequently investigate suspected cases, collect and test samples (throat and cloacal swabs from birds, nose and throat swabs from mammals) and monitor the movement of the virus in the region. The use of video is important to allow timely and remote assessment of unusual behaviour and mortality events by HPAI experts to ensure rapid decisions about likelihood of HPAI at a site.

Currently, all samples are shipped from South Georgia (Islas Georgia del Sur) to the WOAH reference laboratory for avian influenza at the Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA) in the United Kingdom for PCR confirmation and whole genome sequencing.

7.2 Removal of carcasses from selected aggregation sites

GSGSSI does not recommend to collect and remove carcasses from affected colonies or other sites because it considers it to be an ineffective mitigation measure and to risk wildlife disturbance and virus spread and is logistically challenging.

7.3 Biosafety measures

GSGSSI provides detailed instructions for the donning and removal of PPE at two levels that are proportionate with risk. They are designed both to protect individuals and prevent spread between sites and species. GSGSSI also has instructions according to a tiered response in relation to the risk and presence of HPAI. These instructions consist of enhanced biosafety procedures, signs to be vigilant for, and the steps that will be put in place for different groups / activities depending on the response level. There are four response levels, ranging from 0 (no reported HPAI in Falkland Islands (Islas Malvinas) or Scotia Arc, wildlife mortality not above baseline, and no individuals showing HPAI signs) to 4 (HPAI confirmed in Falkland Islands (Islas Malvinas) or Scotia Arc, mass mortality in both birds and mammals across multiple sites). A practical aspect of this tiered response is that it does not depend on laboratory confirmation of HPAI.

References

1. Breed A, Dewar M, Dodyk L, Kuiken T, Matus R, Pereira Serafini P, Uhart M, Vanstreels RET, Wille M. Southward expansion of high pathogenicity avian influenza H5 in wildlife in South America: estimated impact on wildlife populations, and risk of incursion into Antarctica OFFLU ad-hoc group on HPAI H5 in wildlife of South America and Antarctica; 2023. p. 1-14.

2. Ariyama N, Pardo-Roa C, Munoz G, Aguayo C, Avila C, Mathieu C, et al. Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza A(H5N1) Clade 2.3.4.4b virus in wild birds, Chile. Emerg Infect Dis. 2023 Sep;29(9):1842-5.

3. Bennison A; Byrne AMP, Reid SM, Lynton-Jenkins JG, Mollett B, De Sliva D, Peers-Dent J, Finlayson K, Hall R, Blockley F, Blyth M, Falchieri M, Fowler Z, Fitzcharles EM, Brown IH, James J, Banyard AC. Detection and spread of high pathogenicity avian influenza virus H5N1 in the Antarctic Region. bioRxiv. 2023:2023.11.23.568045.

4. Bruno A, Alfaro- Núñez A, de Mora D, Armas R, Olmedo M, Garcés J, et al. Phylogenetic analysis reveals that the H5N1 avian influenza A outbreak in poultry in Ecuador in November 2022 is associated with the highly pathogenic clade 2.3.4.4b. Int J Infect Dis. 2023 Aug;133:27-30.

5. Carrazco-Montalvo A, Luje L, Rodríguez-Pólit C, Ampuño A, Patiño L, Gutiérrez-Pallo D, Alava D, Alarcón-Vallejo D, Arguello N, Echeverría-Garcés G, De La Torre D. Highly pathogenic Avian Influenza A (H5N1) Clade 2.3.4.4b in Wild Birds, Ecuador. bioRxiv. 2023:2023.10.18.562614.

6. Cruz CD, Icochea ME, Espejo V, Troncos G, Castro-Sanguinetti GR, Schilling MA, et al. Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza A(H5N1) from wild birds, poultry, and mammals, Peru. Emerg Infect Dis. 2023 Dec;29(12):2572-6.

7. Leguia M, Garcia-Glaessner A, Munoz-Saavedra B, Juarez D, Barrera P, Calvo-Mac C, et al. Highly pathogenic avian influenza A (H5N1) in marine mammals and seabirds in Peru. Nat Commun. 2023 Sep 7;14(1):5489.

8. Marandino A, Tomas G, Panzera Y, Leizagoyen C, Perez R, Bassetti L, et al. Spreading of the High-Pathogenicity Avian Influenza (H5N1) Virus of Clade 2.3.4.4b into Uruguay. Viruses. 2023 Sep 11;15(9).

9. Pardo-Roa C, Nelson MI, Ariyama N, Aguayo C, Almonacid LI, Munoz G, et al. Crossspecies transmission and PB2 mammalian adaptations of highly pathogenic avian influenza A/H5N1 viruses in Chile. bioRxiv. 2023 Jun 30.

10. Reischak D, Rivetti AV, Jr., Otaka JNP, Domingues CS, Freitas TL, Cardoso FG, et al. First report and genetic characterization of the highly pathogenic avian influenza A(H5N1) virus in Cabot's tern (*Thalasseus acuflavidus*), Brazil. Vet Anim Sci. 2023 Dec;22:100319.

11. Bruno A, Alfaro- Núñez A, de Mora D, Armas R, Olmedo M, Garces J, et al. First case of human infection with highly pathogenic H5 avian Influenza A virus in South America: A new zoonotic pandemic threat for 2023? J Travel Med. 2023 Sep 5;30(5).

12. Castillo A, Fasce R, Parra B, Andrade W, Covarrubias P, Hueche A, et al. The first case of human infection with H5N1 avian Influenza A virus in Chile. J Travel Med. 2023 Sep 5;30(5).

13. Brazil Ministério da Agricultura e Pecuária. Panorama da ocorrência da infecção pelo vírus influenza A de alta patogenicidade (H5N1) em aves silvestres e domésticas de subsistência no Brasil - maio a julho de 2023 [Overview of the occurrence of infection by the highly pathogenic influenza A virus (H5N1) in subsistence wild and domestic birds in Brazil - May to July 2023] [last accessed on 10 December 2023]; Available from:

www.gov.br/agricultura/pt-br/assuntos/sanidade-animal-e-vegetal/saude-

animal/programas-de-saude-animal/pnsa/panorama iaap br maio julho2023.pdf

14. World Organisation for Animal Health. World Animal Health Information System. (WAHIS) 2023 [last accessed 15 December 2023]; Available from: wahis.woah.org/

15. Anonymous. Preocupación por 552 lobos marinos muertos a pocos kilómetros del Chuy [Concern over 552 dead sea lions a few kilometers from Chuy]. [last accessed 10 December 2023]; Available from: www.elobservador.com.uy/nota/preocupacion-por-552lobos-marinos-muertos-a-pocos-kilometros-del-chuy-20231025183255

16. Vara D, Mano A. Bird flu kills over 900 seals, sea lions in south Brazil. 2023 [last accessed 14 December 2023]; Available from: <u>www.reuters.com/world/americas/bird-flu-kills-over-900-seals-sea-lions-south-brazil-2023-12-11/</u>

17. Campagna C, Uhart M, Falabella V, Campagna J, Zavattieri V, Vanstreels RET, Lewis M. Catastrophic mortality of southern elephant seals caused by H5N1 avian influenza. Marine Mammal Science. in press.

18. IUCN. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2023-1. [Last accessed 14 December 2023]; Available from: https:/<u>www.iucnredlist.org</u>

19. Falkland Islands Department of Agriculture. Avian influenza information. [Last accessed December 2023]; Available from: www.falklands.gov.fk/agriculture/avian-influenza

20. Wolfaardt A. An assessment of the population trends and conservation status of Black-browed Albatrosses in the Falkland Islands: Joint Nature Conservation Committee; 2012.

21. Anonymous. Mueren 220 flamencos en Argentina por un brote de gripe aviar [Twohundred and twenty flamingos die in Argentina due to avian flu outbreak]. [Last accessed December 2023]; Available from: cnnespanol.cnn.com/2023/12/01/mueren-220-flamencosargentina-gripe-aviar-trax/

22. Anonymous. Atribuyen la muerte de los flamencos al calentamiento global [The death of flamingos is attributed to global warming]. [Last accessed December 2023]; Available from: www.paginal2.com.ar/612439-atribuyen-la-muerte-de-los-flamencos-al-calentamiento-global

23. Argentina Servicio Nacional de Sanidad y Calidad Agroalimentaria. Estado de la situación epidemiológica en la Argentina [State of the epidemiological situation in Argentina]. [cited 2023 10 December]; Available from:

www.argentina.gob.ar/senasa/estado-de-la-situacion-epidemiologica-en-la-argentina

24. Moore JK, Abott MR, Richman JG. Location and dynamics of the Antarctic Polar Front from satellite sea surface temperature data. Journal of Geophysical Research Oceans. 1999;104(C2):3059-73.

25. COMNAP. Antarctic Facilities Information. [cited 2023 December]; Available from: www.comnap.aq/anarctic-facilities-information

26. Boyd IL; Walker TR, Poncet J. Status of southern elephant seals at South Georgia. Antarctic Science. 1996;8(3):237-44.

27. Survey BA. 20 November, 2023 News stories. [cited December 2023]; Available from: www.bas.ac.uk/media-post/additional-cases-of-avian-flu-hpai-confirmed-on-south-georgia/

28. Government of South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands. Biosecurity-Help protect South Georgia. [Last accessed December 2023]; Available from: https://gov.gs/biosecurity

29. Scientific Committee of Antarctic Research. Sub-Antarctic and Antarctic highly pathogenic avian influenza H5N1 monitoring project. 2023 [Last accessed December 2023]; Available from: https://scar.org/library-data/avian-flu#cases

30. Postel A, King J, Kaiser FK, Kennedy J, Lombardo MS, Reineking W, et al. Infections with highly pathogenic avian influenza A virus (HPAIV) H5N8 in harbor seals at the German North Sea coast, 2021. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2022 Dec;11(1):725-9.

31. Secretariat of the Antarctic Treaty. The Antarctic Treaty. [cited 2023 December]; Available from: <u>www.ats.aq/e/antarctictreaty.html</u>

32. Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (CAMLR). Map of the CAMLR Convention Area. 2023 October 2017 [cited 2023 December]; Available from: www.ccamlr.org/node/86816

33. Riaz J, Orben RA, Gamble A, Tierney M, Catry P, Granadeiro JP, Campioni L, Baylis AMM. Connectivity of marine predators over the Patagonian Shelf during the highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) outbreak. bioRxiv. 2023:2023.12.12.570574.

34. Falabella V, Campagna C, Croxall J. Atlas of the Patagonian Sea. Species and Spaces. Buenos Aires, Argentina: Wildlife Conservation Society and BirdLife International; 2009. 35. Raya Rey A, Huettmann F. Telecoupling analysis of the Patagonian Shelf: A new approach to study global seabird-fisheries interactions to achieve sustainability. Journal for Nature Conservation. 2020;53:1-13.

36. Croxall JP, Wood AG. The importance of the Patagonian Shelf for top predator species breeding at South Georgia. Aquatic Conservation Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems. 2002;12(1):101-18.

37. González-Solís J, Croxall JP, Afanasyev, V. Offshore spatial segregation in giant petrels *Macronectes* spp.: differences between species, sexes and seasons. Aquatic conservation: marine and freshwater ecosystems. 2007;17(S1):S22-236.

38. Delord K, Cherel Y, Barbraud C, Chastel O, Wemerskirch H. High variability in migration and wintering strategies of brown skuas (*Catharacta antarctica lombergi*) in the Indian Ocean. Polar Biology. 2018;41:59-70.

39. Delord K, Pinet P, Pinaud D, Barbraud C, De Grissac S, Lewden A, Cherel Y, Weimerskirch H. Species-specific foraging strategies and segregation mechanisms of sympatric Antarctic fulmarine petrels throughout the annual cycle. Ibis. 2016;158(3):569-86.

40. Agreement of the Conservation of Albatrosses and Petrels. Black-browed Albatross (*Thalassarche melanophris*). [cited December 2023]; Available from: <u>https://acap.ag/acap-species/238-black-browed-albatross/file</u>

41. Agreement of the Conservation of Albatrosses and Petrels. Grey-headed Albatross (*Thalassarche chrysostoma*). [cited December 2023]; Available from: <u>https://acap.aq/acap-species/248-grey-head-albatross/file</u>

42. Croxall JP, Silk JR, Phillips RA, Afanasyev V, Briggs DR. Global circumnavigations: tracking year-round ranges of nonbreeding albatrosses. Science. 2005 Jan 14;307(5707):249-50.

43. Quillfeldt P, Masello JF, Navarro L, Philips RA. Year-round distribution suggests spatial segregation of two small petrel species in the South Atlantic. Journal of Biogeography. 2013;40(3):430-41.

44. Navarro J, Cardador L, Brown R, Phillips RA. Spatial distribution and ecological niches of non-breeding planktivorous petrels. Sci Rep. 2015 Jul 13;5:12164.

45. Anonymous. Atlas of the patagonian sea. [cited December 2023]; Available from: atlas-marpatagonico.org/species/2/southern-elephant-seal.htm

46. Rodriguez JP, Fernandez-Gracia J, Thums M, Hindell MA, Sequeira AM, Meekan MG, et al. Big data analyses reveal patterns and drivers of the movements of southern elephant seals. Sci Rep. 2017 Mar 8;7(1):112.

47. Lewis MC, Campagna C, Marin MR, Fernandez T. Soutern elephant seals north of the Antlantic Polar Front. Antarctic Science. 2006;18(2):213-21.

48. McGovern KA, Rodriguez DH, Lewis MN, Eder EB, Piola AR, Davis RW. Habitat associations of post-breeding female southern elephant seals (*Mirounga leonina*) from Peninsula Valdes, Argentina. Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers. 2022;185(103789).

49. Brown JD, Stallknecht DE, Swayne DE. Experimental infections of herring gulls (*Larus argentatus*) with H5N1 highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses by intranasal inoculation of virus and ingestion of virus-infected chicken meat. Avian Pathol. 2008 Aug;37(4):393-7.

50. Reperant LA, van de Bildt MW, van Amerongen G, Buehler DM, Osterhaus AD, Jenni-Eiermann S, et al. Highly pathogenic avian influenza virus H5N1 infection in a long-distance migrant shorebird under migratory and non-migratory states. PLoS One. 2011;6(11):e27814. 51. Ramis A, van Amerongen G, van de Bildt M, Leijten L, Vanderstichel R, Osterhaus A, et al. Experimental infection of highly pathogenic avian influenza virus H5N1 in black-headed gulls (*Chroicocephalus ridibundus*). Vet Res. 2014 Aug 19;45(1):84.

52. Ancel A; Cristofari, R.; Trathan, P.N.; Gilbert, C.; Fretwell, P.T.; Beaulieu, M. Looking for new emperor penguin colonies? Filling the gaps. Global Ecology and Conservation. 2017;9:171-9.

53. LaRue M, Salas L, Nur N, Ainley D, Stammerjohn S, Pennycook J, et al. Insights from the first global population estimate of Weddell seals in Antarctica. Sci Adv. 2021 Sep 24;7(39):eabh3674.

54. Southwell CJ, Kerry KR, Ensor PH. Predicting the distribution of crabeater seals *Lobodon carcinophaga* off east Antarctica during the breeding season. Marine Ecology Progress Series. 2005;299:297-309.

55. Hunter S. The food and feeding ecology of the giant petrels *Macronectes halli* and *M. giganteus* at South Georgia. Journal of Zoology. 1983;200(4):521-38.

56. Reinhardt K, Hahn S, Peter HU, Wemhoff H. A review of the diets of Southern Hemisphere skuas. Marine ornithology. 2000;28:7-19.

57. Philips RA, Phalan B, Forster IP. Diet and long-term changes in population size and productivity of brown skuas *Catharacta antarctica lonnbergi* at Bird Island, South Georgia. Polar Biology. 2004;27:555-61.

58. Zamora G, Aguilar Pierle S, Loncopan J, Araos L, Verdugo F, Rojas-Fuentes C, et al. Scavengers as prospective sentinels of viral diversity: the snowy sheathbill virome as a potential tool for monitoring virus circulation, lessons from two antarctic expeditions. Microbiol Spectr. 2023 Jun 15;11(3):e0330222.

59. Ridoux V, Offredo C. The diets of five summer breeding seabirds in Adelie Land, Antarctica. Polar Biology. 1989;9:137-45.

60. WHA, Klaassen M, Wille M. High Pathogenicity Avian Influenza (HPAI) clade 2.2.3.4b incursion risk assessment for Australia (Based on information as of 20 July 2023; abridged version). 2023 Available from: https://wildlifehealthaustralia.com.au/Resource-Centre/Biosecurity-Management

61. Tracey JP, Woods R, Roshier D, West P, Saunders GR. The role of wild birds in the transmission of avian influenza for Australia an ecological perspective. Emu - Austral - Ornithology. 2004;104(2):109-24.

62. Skira I. The short-tailed shearwater: a review of its biology. Australasian Bird Reviews. 1991;15(2):45-52.

63. Dingle H. The Australo-Papuan bird migration system: another consequence of Wallace's Line. Eu - Austral Ornithology. 2004;104(2):95-108.

64. McCallum HI, Roshier D, Tracey JP, Joseph L, Heinsohn R. Will Wallace's line save Australia from avian influenza? Ecology and Society. 2008;13(2):41.

65. BirdLife International. IUCN Red List for birds. 2023 [Last accessed 19 December]; Available from: <u>http://datazone.birdlife.org</u>

66. Agreement of the Conservation of Albatrosses and Petrels. Northern Giant Petrel (*Macronectes halli*). 2023c [cited 2023 December]; Available from: <u>https://acap.aq/acap-species/264-northern-giant-petrel/file</u>

67. Woehler EJ, Johnstone GW. Banding studies of giant petrels, *Macronectes* spp., at Macquarie island. Papers and Proceedings of the Royal Society of Tasmania. 1988;12(1):143-52.

68. Trebilco R, Gales R, Baker GB, Terauds A, Summer MD. At sea movement of Macquarie Island giant petrels: Relationships with marine protected areas and Regional Fisheries Management Organisations. Biological Conservation. 2008;141(12):2942-58.

69. Seabird Tracking Database. Northern giant-petrels from Macquarie 2005-07. [cited December 2023]; Available from: <u>https://data.seabirdtracking.org/dataset/410</u>

70. Richardson PL, Wakefield ED. Observations and models of across-wind flight speed of the wandering albatross. R Soc Open Sci. 2022 Nov;9(11):211364.

71. Raymond B, Shaffer SA, Sokolov S, Woehler EJ, Costa DP, Einoder L, et al. Shearwater foraging in the Southern Ocean: the roles of prey availability and winds. PLoS One. 2010 Jun 4;5(6):e10960.

72. Seabird Tracking Database. Short-tailed Shearwater, Tasmania. [cited December 2023]; Available from: <u>https://data.seabirdtracking.org/dataset/670</u>

73. Seabird Tracking Database. Short-tailed shearwaters from Montague Is 1997. [cited December 2023]; Available from: <u>https://data.seabirdtracking.org/dataset/467</u>

74. Seabird Tracking Database. Short-tailed shearwaters from French Is 1997. [cited December 2023]; Available from: <u>https://data.seabirdtracking.org/dataset/466</u>

75. Wienecke B, Robertson G. Foraging areas of king penguins from Macquarie Island in relation to a marine protected area. Environ Manage. 2002 May;29(5):662-72.

76. Australian Government Department of Climate Change Energy, the Environment and Water. Seals and sea lions. 2016 [cited December 2023]; Available from:

https://www.dcceew.gov.au/environment/marine/marine-species/seals-and-sea-lions

77. van der Linde K, Visser IN, Bout R, Lalas C, Shepherd L, Hocking D, et al. Leopard seals (*Hydrurga leptonyx*) in New Zealand waters predating on chondrichthyans. Frontiers in Marine Science. 2021 2021-December-16;8.

78. Alkie TN, Lopes S, Hisanaga T, Xu W, Suderman M, Koziuk J, et al. A threat from both sides: Multiple introductions of genetically distinct H5 HPAI viruses into Canada via both East Asia-Australasia/Pacific and Atlantic flyways. Virus Evol. 2022;8(2):veac077.

79. World Organisation for Animal Health (WOAH). Terrestrial code online access. [last accessed 10 December 2023]; Available from: <u>https://www.woah.org/en/what-we-do/standards/codes-and-manuals/terrestrial-code-online-</u>

access/?id=169&L=1&htmfile=chapitre notification.htm

80. Kuiken T, Cromie R. Protect wildlife from livestock diseases. Science. 2022 Oct 7;378(6615):5.

81. Caliendo V, Kleyheeg E, Beerens N, Camphuysen KCJ, Cazemier R, Elbers ARW, et al. Effect of 2020-21 and 2021-22 highly pathogenic avian influenza H5 epidemics on wild birds, the Netherlands. Emerg Infect Dis. 2023 Dec 1;30(1).

82. European Food Safety Authority, European Centre for Disease Prevention Control, European Union Reference Laboratory for Avian Influenza, Adlhoch C, Fusaro A, Gonzales JL, Kuiken T, et al. Avian influenza overview April - June 2023. EFSA J. 2023 Jul;21(7):e08191.

83. BirdLife International . An unprecedented wave of avian flu has been devastating bird populations across the Northern hemisphere. 2022 [cited December 2023]; Available from: https://www.birdlife.org/news/2022/08/08/an-unprecedented-wave-of-avian-flu-has-been-devastating-bird-populations-across-the-northern-hemisphere/

84. Banyard AC, Lean FZX, Robinson C, Howie F, Tyler G, Nisbet C, et al. Detection of Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza virus H5N1 clade 2.3.4.4b in great skuas: a species of conservation concern in Great Britain. Viruses. 2022 Jan 21;14(2).

85. Verhagen JH, van der Jeugd HP, Nolet BA, Slaterus R, Kharitonov SP, de Vries PP, et al. Wild bird surveillance around outbreaks of highly pathogenic avian influenza A(H5N8) virus in the Netherlands, 2014, within the context of global flyways. Euro Surveill. 2015 Mar 26;20(12).

86. Wille M, Lisovski S, Risely A, Ferenczi M, Roshier D, Wong FYK, et al. Serologic evidence of exposure to Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza H5 viruses in migratory shorebirds, Australia. Emerg Infect Dis. 2019 Oct;25(10):1903-10.

87. Ulloa M, Fernández A, Ariyama N, Colom-Rivero A, Rivera C, Nuñez P, et al. Mass mortality event in South American sea lions (*Otaria flavescens*) correlated to highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) H5N1 outbreak in Chile. Vet Q. 2023 Dec;43(1):1-10.

88. Philibert H, Wobeser G, Clark RG. Counting dead birds: examination of methods. J Wildl Dis. 1993 Apr;29(2):284-9.

89. Camphuysen KCJ, Gear SC. Great Skuas and Northern Gannets on Foula, summer 2022 - an unprecedented, H5N1 related massacre. NIOZ Report 2022;2022(02):312-23.

90. Saavedra I, Rabadan-Gonzalez J, Aragones D, Figuerola J. Can citizen science contribute to avian influenza surveillance? Pathogens. 2023 Sep 21;12(9).

91. Reperant LA, Caliendo V, Kuiken T. EWDA Diagnosis Card Avian Influenza. 2021 [cited December 2023]; Available from: <u>https://ewda.org/wp-</u>

content/uploads/2021/05/EWDA DiagnCard AvianInfluenza updated def.pdf

92. Wobeser G. Disease management strategies for wildlife. Rev Sci Tech. 2002 Apr;21(1):159-78.

93. Yamamoto Y, Nakamura K, Mase M. Survival of Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza H5N1 virus in tissues derived from experimentally infected chickens. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2017 Aug 15;83(16).

94. Rijks JM, Leopold MF, Kuhn S, In 't Veld R, Schenk F, Brenninkmeijer A, et al. Mass mortality caused by Highly Pathogenic Influenza A(H5N1) virus in Sandwich terns, the Netherlands, 2022. Emerg Infect Dis. 2022 Dec;28(12):2538-42.

95. ACAP Intersessional Group on High Pathogenicity Avian Influenza H5N1. Guidelines for working with albatrosses and petrels during the high pathogenicity avian influenza (HPAI) H5N1 panzootic [cited December 2023]; Available from: <u>https://acap.aq/resources/acap-conservation-guidelines/4084-guidelines-for-working-with-albatrosses-and-petrels-during-h5n1-avian-influenza-outbreak/file</u>

96. Government of South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands. Biosecurity Handbook Update Released. [cited December 2023]; Available from: <u>https://gov.gs/biosecurity-handbook-update-released/</u>

97. Brazil Ministério da Agricultura e Pecuária. Influenza Aviária [Avian influenza]. [Last accessed 10 December]; Available from: <u>https://mapa-</u>

indicadores.agricultura.gov.br/publico/extensions/SRN/SRN.html

98. Chile Servicio Agrícola y Ganadero. Influenza Aviar (IA) [Avian influenza dashboard]. [Last accessed 10 December]; Available from: <u>www.sag.gob.cl/ambitos-de-accion/influenza-aviar-ia</u>

99. Chile Servicio Nacional de Pesca y Acuicultura. Influenza Aviar [Avian influenza summary]. [Last accessed 10 December]; Available from: <u>www.sernapesca.cl/influenza-aviar</u>
100. Peru Ministerio de Salud. Sala de influenza aviar [Avian influenza dashboard]. [Last accessed 10 December]; Available from: <u>www.dge.gob.pe/influenza-aviar-ah5/#aves</u>

Annex 1 Number of birds and mammals reported dead from 1 November 2022 to 10th of December 2023 by countries in South America.

Asterisks indicate species-country associations where HPAI H5 virus infection was not confirmed by at least one case; Numerical comparisons among countries may be unreliable since countries differ considerably in their approach for surveillance, diagnostic methods and reporting of suspected/confirmed HPAI cases. Sources: (3, 14-17, 19, 23, 97-100). Note: FMI = Falkland Islands (Islas Malvinas).

				Number of individuals reported dead per country									
Family / Common nai	me /Species name	IUCN Red List assessment	Number of mature individuals globally (IUCN Red List)	Bolivia	Peru	Chile	Argentina	Ecuador	Brazil	Uruguay	Colombia	Venezuela	Falkland
Birds													
Accipitridae													
Great black hawk	Buteogallus urubitinga	Least concern	500,000-4,999,999						1				
Black-chested buzzard-eagle	Geranoaetus melanoleucus	Least concern	unknown		13	1							
Harris's hawk	Parabuteo unicinctus	Least concern	unknown			2							
Anatidae													
White-cheeked pintail	Anas bahamensis	Least concern	unknown		1								
Yellow-billed teal	Anas flavirostris	Least concern	unknown			1	3						
Yellow-billed pintail	Anas georgica	Least concern	unknown			1							
Black-necked swan	Cygnus melancoryphus	Least concern	unknown			107	21		1	142			

					Nu	mber of ir	ndividua	ls reporte	ed dead	per cou	ntry		
Family / Common na	me /Species name	IUCN Red List assessment	Number of mature individuals globally (IUCN Red List)	Bolivia	Peru	Chile	Argentina	Ecuador	Brazil	Uruguay	Colombia	Venezuela	Falkland
Black-bellied whistling-duck	Dendrocygna autumnalis	Least concern	200,000-2,000,000								1		
White-faced whistling-duck	Dendrocygna viduata	Least concern	unknown								1		
Chiloé wigeon	Mareca sibilatrix	Least concern	unknown			1							
Silver teal	Spatula versicolor	Least concern	unknown				1						
Andean goose	Chloephaga melanoptera	Least concern	unknown		102		2						
Coscoroba swan	Coscoroba coscoroba	Least concern	6,700-17,000			10							
Magellanic steamer duck	Tachyeres pteneres	Least concern	6,700-67,000			3							
Upland goose	Chloephaga picta	Least concern	unknown			5							
Ardeidae													
Great white egret	Ardea alba	Least concern	590,000-2,200,000			1							
Cattle egret	Bubulcus ibis	Least concern	unknown		1								
Snowy egret	Egretta thula	Least concern	unknown		1				1				
Black-crowned night-heron	Nycticorax nycticorax	Least concern	unknown			1							
Cathartidae													
Turkey vulture	Cathartes aura	Least concern	unknown			5							
American black vulture	Coragyps atratus	Least concern	unknown		1	2							
Andean condor	Vultur gryphus	Vulnerable	6,700										
Charadriidae													

				Number of individuals reported dead per country									
Family / Common nai	me /Species name	IUCN Red List assessment	Number of mature individuals globally (IUCN Red List)	Bolivia	Peru	Chile	Argentina	Ecuador	Brazil	Uruguay	Colombia	Venezuela	Falkland
American golden plover	Pluvialis dominica	Least concern	unknown						1				
Southern lapwing	Vanellus chilensis	Least concern	5,000,000- 49,999,999			1							
Diomedeidae													
Waved albatross	Phoebastria irrorata	Critically endangered	unknown		3				*				
Black-browed albatross	Thalassarche melanophris	Least concern	1,400,000			1			<u> </u>				1
Falconidae													
Crested caracara	Caracara plancus	Least concern	2,500,000- 4,999,999		28								
Peregrine falcon	Falco peregrinus	Least concern	100,000-499,999		2	3							
Chimango caracara	Phalcoboenus chimango	Least concern	unknown			3							
Fregatidae													
Magnificent frigatebird	Fregata magnificens	Least concern	130,000		12			6000	1				
Great frigatebird	Fregata minor	Least concern	120,000					1002					
Haematopodidae													
Blackish oystercatcher	Haematopus ater	Least concern	20,900-87,300			50							
American oystercatcher	Haematopus palliatus	Least concern	unknown		2	1							
Hirundidinae	4												

				Number of individuals reported dead per country									
Family / Common nar	ne /Species name	IUCN Red List assessment	Number of mature individuals globally (IUCN Red List)	Bolivia	Peru	Chile	Argentina	Ecuador	Brazil	Uruguay	Colombia	Venezuela	Falkland
Blue-and-white	Pygochelidon	Least concern	5,000,000-	4									
swallow	cyanoleuca		50,000,000										
Laridae													
Inca tern	Larosterna inca	Near threatened	unknown		7987	239							
Belcher's gull	Larus belcheri	Least concern	unknown			293							
Grey-headed gull	Larus cirrocephalus	Least concern	unknown					1	2				
Kelp gull	Larus dominicanus	Least concern	unknown		1062	4594	1						
Brown-hooded gull	Larus maculipennis	Least concern	unknown		1063	48			1				
Grey gull	Larus modestus	Least concern	unknown			1016							
Franklin's gull	Larus pipixcan	Least concern	unknown			95							
Dolphin gull	Larus scoresbii	Least concern	6,700-19,000			2							
Black skimmer	Rynchops niger	Least concern	unknown			26							
Royal tern	Thalasseus maximus	Least concern	unknown						60	1			
Cabot's/Cayenne tern	Thalasseus acuflavidus	Least concern	325,000-430,000				2400		858				
South American tern	Sterna hirundinacea	Least concern	unknown			58				5			
Elegant tern	Thalasseus elegans	Near threatened	unknown			135							
Common tern	Sterna hirundo	Least concern	unknown						15				
Passeridae	±	+											

				Number of individuals reported dead per country									
Family / Common nai	ne /Species name	IUCN Red List assessment	Number of mature individuals globally (IUCN Red List)	Bolivia	Peru	Chile	Argentina	Ecuador	Brazil	Uruguay	Colombia	Venezuela	Falkland
House sparrow	Passer domesticus	Least concern	896,000,000- 1.310.000.000			1							
Pelecanidae	<u> </u>	<u>+</u>	,,,									[
Brown pelican	Pelecanus occidentalis	Least concern	unknown				<u>+</u>		<u>}</u>		302	1	+
Peruvian pelican	Pelecanus thagus	Near threatened	unknown		57447	4509						172	
Phalacrocoracidae													
Imperial shag	Leucocarbo atriceps	Least concern	unknown			7							
Guanay cormorant	Leucocarbo bougainvilliorum	Near threatened	unknown			6380							
Neotropical cormorant	Nannopterum brasilianus	Least concern	unknown		254793	726			1				
Red-legged cormorant	Poikilocarbo gaimardi	Near threatened	19,400-20,300			498							
Rock shag	Leucocarbo magellanicus	Least concern	unknown			1							
Phoenicopteridae													
Chilean flamingo	Phoenicopterus chilensis	Near threatened	unknown		3								
Puna (James's) flamingo	Phoenicoparrus jamesi	Near threatened	unknown				237						
Podicipedidae													
Great grebe	Podiceps major	Least concern	unknown			3							

				Number of individuals reported dead per country									
Family / Common na	me /Species name	IUCN Red List assessment	Number of mature individuals globally (IUCN Red List)	Bolivia	Peru	Chile	Argentina	Ecuador	Brazil	Uruguay	Colombia	Venezuela	Falkland
Procellariidae													
Sooty shearwater	Ardenna grisea	Near threatened	8,800,000		6	304							
Southern fulmar	Fulmarus glacialoides	Least concern	unknown										2
Southern giant petrel	Macronectes giganteus	Least concern	95,600-108,000			7							
Antarctic prion	Pachyptila desolata	Least concern	unknown						1				
Peruvian diving- petrel	Pelecanoides garnotii	Near threatened	100,000			25							
White-chinned petrel	Procellaria aequinoctialis	Vulnerable	3,000,000						1				
Manx shearwater	Puffinus puffinus	Least concern	680,000-790,000						3				
Antarctic giant petrel	Macronectes giganteus	Least concern	95,600-108,000			1							
Psittacidae													
slender-billed parakeet	Enicognathus leptorhynchus	Least concern	unknown			14							
Rallidae													
Red-gartered Coot	Fulica armillata	Least concern	unknown			2							
Recurvirostridae													
White-backed stilt	Himantopus melanurus	not classified	unknown			1							
Scolopacidae													
Ruddy turnstone	Arenaria interpres	Least concern	300,000-500,000		1								

				Nur	nber of ir	dividua	ls reporte	ed dead	per cou	ntry		
me /Species name	IUCN Red List assessment	Number of mature individuals globally (IUCN Red List)	Bolivia	Peru	Chile	Argentina	Ecuador	Brazil	Uruguay	Colombia	Venezuela	Falkland
Calidris alba	Least concern	unknown		1	12							
Numenius phaeopus	Least concern	unknown		1	2							
Tringa flavipes	Least concern	270,000			1							
Spheniscus humboldti	Vulnerable	23,800		371*	3721							
Catharacta antarctica	Least concern	26,000-28,000		25								
Catharacta chilensis	Least concern	2,500-9,999		25	6							
Megascops choliba	Least concern	500,000- 4,999,999						1				
Sula leucogaster	Least concern	unknown						3				
Sula nebouxii	Least concern	90,000		4			3					
Sula sula	Least concern	1,400,000					6					
Sula variegata	Least concern	unknown		235643	6506							
	Megascops Calia leucogaster Sula leucogasta Sula sula Sula variegata	IUCN Red List assessmentCalidris albaLeast concernNumenius phaeopusLeast concernTringa flavipesLeast concernSpheniscus humboldtiVulnerableCatharacta antarcticaLeast concernCatharacta chilensisLeast concernMegascops cholibaLeast concernSula leucogaster Sula nebouxiiLeast concernSula sula Sula variegataLeast concern	IUCN Red List assessmentNumber of mature individuals globally (IUCN Red List)Calidris albaLeast concernunknownNumenius 	IUCN Red List assessmentNumber of mature individuals globally (IUCN Red List)Calidris albaLeast concernunknownNumenius phaeopusLeast concernunknownTringa flavipesLeast concern270,000Spheniscus humboldtiVulnerable23,800Catharacta antarcticaLeast concern26,000-28,000Megascops chilensisLeast concern2,500-9,999Sula leucogasterLeast concern500,000- 4,999,999Sula leucogasterLeast concern90,000Sula nebouxiiLeast concern1,400,000Sula sulaLeast concern1,400,000Sula variegataLeast concern1,400,000	IUCN Red List assessmentNumber of mature individuals globally (IUCN Red List)Time BECalidris albaLeast concernunknown1Numenius phaeopusLeast concernunknown1Tringa flavipesLeast concern270,000	Vumber of mature individuals globally (IUCN Red List assessmentNumber of mature individuals globally (IUCN Red List)	IUCN Red List assessmentNumber of mature individuals globally (IUCN Red List)Image: Constraint of the constrai	Number of mature individuals globally (IUCN Red List assesmentNumber of mature individuals globally (IUCN Red List) \overline{e} e	Number of mature individuals globally (IUCN Red List assessmentNumber of mature individuals globally (IUCN Red List)Calidris albaLeast concernunknown112Numenius phaeopusLeast concernunknown112Tringa flavipesLeast concern270,00011Spheniscus humboldtiVulnerable23,800371*37211Catharacta chliensisLeast concern26,000-28,0002561Megascops cholibaLeast concern500,000- 4,999,999111Megascops cholibaLeast concern500,000- 4,999,999111Sula leucogaster Sula sulaLeast concern90,00043Sula sula Sula variegataLeast concern1,400,00023564365061	Number of mature individuals globally Calidris albaNumber of mature individuals globally iginalNumber of mature iginal<	Number of mature individuals globallNumber of individuals globallUCN Red List assessmentNumber of mature individuals globall (UCN Red List) \overline{y} 	Number of matureme /Species nameIUCN Red List individuals globally (IUCN Red List)mumber of mature individuals globally (IUCN Red List) $\frac{1}{20}$ <

					Nu	mber of ir	ndividua	ls reporte	d dead	per cou	ntry		
Family / Common nar	ne /Species name	IUCN Red List assessment	Number of mature individuals globally (IUCN Red List)	Bolivia	Peru	Chile	Argentina	Ecuador	Brazil	Uruguay	Colombia	Venezuela	Falkland
Mammals													
Delphinidae													
Chilean dolphin	Cephalorhynchus eutropia	Near threatened	unknown			1							
Short-beaked common dolphin	Delphinus delphis	Least concern	unknown		1	9*							
Dusky dolphin	Lagenorhynchus obscurus	Least concern	unknown			28							
Phocoenidae													
Burmeister's porpoise	Phocoena spinipinnis	Near threatened	unknown			36							
Mustelidae													
Marine otter	Lontra felina	Endangered	unknown			43							
Southern river otter	Lontra provocax	Endangered	unknown			1							
Otariidae													
South American fur seal	Arctocephalus australis	Least concern	109,500			27*	13						
South American sea lion	Otaria byronia	Least concern	222,500		10457	20070	1367		552	800			
Phocidae	i												
Southern elephant seal	Mirounga leonina	Least concern	325,000			16*	17400						

					Nur	nber of ir	dividua	ls reporte	d dead	per cou	ntry		
Family / Common na	nme /Species name	IUCN Red List assessment	Number of mature individuals globally (IUCN Red List)	Bolivia	Peru	Chile	Argentina	Ecuador	Brazil	Uruguay	Colombia	Venezuela	Falkland
Procyonidae													
South American	Nasua nasua	Least concern	unknown							16			
coati													

			IUCN Red list	Number of mature individuals globally
Family	Common name	Species	assessment	(IUCN Red List)
Birds				
Anatidae	Southern pintail	Anas eatoni	Vulnerable	31,200-41,400
	Yellow-billed pintail	Anas georgica	Least concern	unknown
Chionidae	Snowy sheathbill	Chionis albus	Least concern	unknown
	Black-faced sheathbill	Chionis minor	Least concern	8,700-13,000
Diomedeidae	Wandering albatross	Diomedea exulans	Vulnerable	20,100
	Sooty albatross	Phoebetria fusca	Endangered	21,234-28,656
	Light-mantled Albatross	Phoebetria palpebrata	Near threatened	58,000
	Indian yellow-nosed albatross	Thalassarche carteri	Endangered	82,000
Diomedeidae	Grey-headed albatross	Thalassarche chrysostoma	Endangered	250,000
	Black-browed albatross	Thalassarche melanophris	Least concern	1,400,000
Laridae	Kelp gull	Larus dominicanus	Least concern	unknown
	Kerguelen tern	Sterna virgata	Near threatened	2,300-4,300
	Antarctic tern	Sterna vittata	Least concern	unknown
Motacillidae	South Georgia pipit	Anthus antarcticus	Least concern	6,000-8,000
Oceanitidae	Black-bellied storm-petrel	Fregetta tropica	Least concern	unknown
	Grey-backed storm-petrel	Garrodia nereis	Least concern	unknown
	Wilson's storm-petrel	Oceanites oceanicus	Least concern	8,000,000-20,000,000
Phalacrocoracidae	Imperial shag	Leucocarbo atriceps	Least concern	unknown
	Kerguelen shag	Leucocarbo verrucosus	Least concern	unknown
Procellariidae	Kerguelen petrel	Aphrodroma brevirostris	Least concern	unknown
	Cape petrel	Daption capense	Least concern	unknown
	Southern fulmar	Fulmarus glacialoides	Least concern	unknown

Annex 2 Antarctic and Subantarctic species list with IUCN Red List assessment and estimated number of mature individuals globally

(source: IUCN. 2023. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2023-1. https://www.iucnredlist.org. Accessed on December, 2023)

				Number of mature
			IUCN Red list	individuals globally
Family	Common name	Species	assessment	(IUCN Red List)
	Blue petrel	Halobaena caerulea	Least concern	unknown
Procellariidae	Southern giant petrel	Macronectes giganteus	Least concern	95,600-108,000
	Northern giant petrel	Macronectes halli	Least concern	23,600
	Slender-billed prion	Pachyptila belcheri	Least concern	unknown
	Fulmar prion	Pachyptila crassirostris	Least concern	100,000-200,000
	Antarctic prion	Pachyptila desolata	Least concern	unknown
	Salvin's prion	Pachyptila salvini	Least concern	unknown
	Fairy prion	Pachyptila turtur	Least concern	unknown
	Snow petrel	Pagodroma nivea	Least concern	unknown
	South Georgia diving petrel	Pelecanoides georgicus	Least concern	12,000,000
	Common diving petrel	Pelecanoides urinatrix	Least concern	unknown
	White-chinned petrel	Procellaria aequinoctialis	Vulnerable	3,000,000
	Grey petrel	Procellaria cinerea	Near threatened	151,500
	White-headed petrel	Pterodroma lessonii	Least concern	unknown
	Great-winged petrel	Pterodroma macroptera	Least concern	unknown
	Soft-plumaged petrel	Pterodroma mollis	Least concern	unknown
	Antarctic petrel	Thalassoica antarctica	Least concern	unknown
Spheniscidae	Emperor penguin	Aptenodytes forsteri	Near threatened	unknown
	King penguin	Aptenodytes patagonicus	Least concern	unknown
	Southern rockhopper	Eudyptes chrysocome	Vulnerable	2,500,000
	penguin			
	Macaroni penguin	Eudyptes chrysolophus	Vulnerable	unknown
	Adelie penguin	Pygoscelis adeliae	Least concern	10,000,000
	Chinstrap penguin	Pygoscelis antarcticus	Least concern	8,000,000
	Gentoo penguin	Pygoscelis papua	Least concern	774,000
Stercorariidae	Brown skua	Catharacta antarctica	Least concern	26,000-28,000
	South polar skua	Catharacta maccormicki	Least concern	6,000-15,000

				Number of mature
			IUCN Red list	individuals globally
Family	Common name	Species	assessment	(IUCN Red List)
Mammals				
Balaenidae	Southern right whale	Eubalaena australis	Least concern	unknown
Balaenopteridae	Sei whale	Balaenoptera borealis	Endangered	50,000
	Antarctic blue whale	Balaenopera musculus sp. intermedia	Critically endangered	3,000
	Common minke whale	Balaenoptera acutorostrata	Least concern	200,000
	Fin whale	Balaenoptera physalus	Vulnerable	100,000
	Humpback whale	Megaptera novaeangliae	Least concern	84,000
	Antarctic minke whale	Balaenoptera bonearensis	Near threatened	unknown
Delphinidae	Commersons's dolphin	Cephalorhynchus commersonii	Least concern	unknown
	Long-finned pilote whale	Globicephala melas	Least concern	unknown
	Hourglass dolphin	Lagenorhynchus cruciger	Least concern	unknown
	Southern right whale dolphin	Lissodelphis peronii	Least concern	unknown
	Killer whale	Orcinus orca	Data deficient	unknown
Neobalaenidae	Pygmy right whale	Caperea marginata	Least concern	unknown
Otariidae	Antarctic fur seal	Arctocephalus gazella	Least concern	700,000-1,000,000
	Subantarctic fur seal	Arctocephalus tropicalis	Least concern	200,000
	South american sea lion	Otaria byronia	Least concern	222,500
Phocidae	Leopard seal	Hydrurga leptonyx	Least concern	18,000
	Weddell seal	Leptonychotes weddellii	Least concern	300,000
	Crabeater seal	Lobodon carcinophagus	Least concern	4,000,000
	Southern elephant seal	Mirounga leonina	Least concern	325,000
	Ross seal	Ommatophoca rossii	Least concern	40,000
Phocoenidae	Spectacled porpoise	Phocoena dioptrica	Least concern	unknown
Physeteridae	Sperm whale	Physeter macrocephalus	Vulnerable	unknown
Ziphiidae	Gray's Beaked whale	Mesoplodon grayi	Least concern	unknown
	Southern bottlenose whale	Hyperoodon planifrons	Least concern	unknown
	Strap-toothed Whale	Mesoplodon layardii	Least concern	unknown
	Arnoux's beaked whale	Berardius arnuxii	Least concern	unknown